

WJMS Vol. 4, Issue 7, Page: 24-41,
July 2019, ISSN: 2676-2811
Impact Factor (SJIF): 8.232
Journal DOI: 10.15373/22501991
International Peer Reviewed &
Refereed Journal with Indexed Journal
Platforms

web: www.damaacademia.com
email: editor@damaacademia.com
[Download from Journal site](http://www.damaacademia.com)
<https://damaacademia.com/wjms/>

Author(s)

Adjenim Boateng Adjei
School of finance & Financial Mgtt.
Business University of Costa Rica
Email: abadje@yahoo.co.uk

Correspondence

Adjenim Boateng Adjei
Chief Executive Officer
Public Procurement Authority
Airport, Accra - Ghana
Email: abadje@yahoo.co.uk

Sustainable Procurement in Practice

Adjenim Boateng Adjei

Abstract

Based on a review of sustainable procurement literature, the factors commitment, expertise, and top management support were identified as potentially influencing the degree of sustainable procurement. Whereas commitment and top management support are considered important factors of successful change in both sustainable procurement and organisational change literature, expertise as an influencing factor is derived from the sustainable procurement literature only. The commitment of project teams engaged in procurement to embrace policy objectives or strategies is considered essential to generating the effort and energy necessary for a successful project, and it determines where an organisation places itself on the continuum of innovator to laggard showed top management support to be a significant factor in the implementation of sustainable procurement. If managers support sustainable procurement and incorporate it into their planning, strategies or goal setting, the project teams will execute it. In their literature review, Fernandez and Rainey (2006) state that there is considerable evidence that top management support and commitment play an essential role in successful change in the public sector. Expertise is an organisational factor that is not (yet) considered important in organisational change theory. However, several studies on sustainable procurement have shown that without relevant expertise public procurers interpret the new situation based on their old routines, which are no longer appropriate to the situation, making traditional choices and diminishing the degree of sustainable procurement.

Keywords: Sustainable procurement in practice

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Since the 1980s, sustainable procurement has been applied worldwide as a mean of addressing and reducing negative environmental impacts related to the production and consumption of products (Ho, Dickinson, & Chan, 2010). In 2005, sustainable procurement was high on the Ghanaian political agenda with the acceptance of a motion in the House of Representatives. The Ghanaian national government wanted to use their annual spending of more than 10 billion euro to stimulate the market for sustainable goods and services and to act as a role model. Research on sustainable procurement indicates that procurement is indeed a policy tool that can help achieve desired out-comes in society and is critical in driving forward the sustainability agenda (Brammer & Walker, 2011; Carter & Rogers, 2008; Green, Morton, & New, 1998; Ho et al., 2010; Meehan & Bryde, 2011; Preuss, 2009). External pressures are often crucial in kick-starting the engagement of organisations in sustainable procurement; however, for it to become truly successful, certain organisational factors are needed (Hoejmose & Adrien-Kirby, 2012). It is inside the organisation that changes have to be made and barriers removed to achieve the desired outcomes in society.

The sustainable procurement literature has given much attention to the identification of barriers to sustainable procurement (Ageron, Gunasekaran, & Spalanzani, 2011; Giunipero, Hooker, & Denslow, 2012; Günther & Scheibe, 2006; Meehan & Bryde, 2011; Michelsen & de Boer, 2009; Preuss, 2009; Varnas, Balfors, & Faith-Ell, 2009; Walker & Brammer, 2009). According to our reading of the literature, these barriers are part of three organisational factors: commitment, top management support, and expertise. Although the literature on sustainable procurement clearly identifies these factors as being influential (Meehan & Bryde, 2011; Preuss, 2009; Walker & Brammer, 2009), no attention has been given to how these factors actually influence the degree of sustainable procurement. This chapter addresses this issue and answers the question: how do organisational factors influence the degree of sustainable procurement in public procurement projects in the Ghanaian national government? To answer this question, two cases of sustainable procurement in the Ghanaian national government are studied from an organisational perspective. The organisational change literature focuses on how organisational factors influence the outcomes of change initiatives. Thus, by studying sustainable procurement from an organisational perspective, it becomes possible to go beyond the

identification of organisational factors and explain how the degree of sustainable procurement is actually influenced by organisational factors. The conceptual model is developed in the next section; in section 4.3, the research design and methods are discussed; in section 4.4, the results of the case studies are presented; section 4.5 concludes; and section 4.6 discusses future research and limitations.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

Based on a review of sustainable procurement literature, the factors commitment, expertise, and top management support were identified as potentially influencing the degree of sustainable procurement. Whereas commitment and top management support are considered important factors of successful change in both sustainable procurement and organisational change literature, expertise as an influencing factor is derived from the sustainable procurement literature only. The commitment of project teams engaged in procurement to embrace policy objectives or strategies is considered essential to generating the effort and energy necessary for a successful project, and it determines where an organisation places itself on the continuum of innovator to laggard (McLaughlin, 1990; Meyer & Herscovitch, 2001; Meyer, Stanley, Herscovitch, & Topolnytsky, 2002; Parish, Cadwallader, & Busch, 2008; Preuss, 2009). A study of Brammer and Walker (2011: 472) showed top management support to be a significant factor in the implementation of sustainable procurement. If managers support sustainable procurement and incorporate it into their planning, strategies or goal setting, the project teams will execute it (2011: 475). In their literature review, Fernandez and Rainey (2006) state that there is considerable evidence that top management support and commitment play an essential role in successful change in the public sector. Expertise is an organisational factor that is not (yet) considered important in organisational change theory. However, several studies on sustainable procurement have shown that without relevant expertise public procurers interpret the new situation based on their old routines, which are no longer appropriate to the situation, making traditional choices and diminishing the degree of sustainable procurement (Meehan & Bryde, 2011; Michelsen & de Boer, 2009).

3.0 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design and data Collection

In this paper, a combined case study approach was used to reconstruct the process towards sustainable procurement and to explain the difference between the degrees of sustainable procurement between the cases. A case study was deemed the best approach, as this allows us to research both “how” and “why” the degree of sustainable procurement is influenced by organisational factors. To understand how organisational factors influence the degree of sustainable procurement, it is necessary to study the process leading up to a certain degree of sustainable procurement. By combining a causal process tracing approach and a conversational approach, we were able to trace a process that leads to a certain degree of sustainable procurement, enhancing the internal validity of the claim that the organisational factors matter (Blatter & Haverland, 2012; Gerring, 2007). Using a causal process tracing approach requires that we select our cases based on the dependent variable (degree of sustainable procurement). Selecting two cases within the same organisation allowed us to control for other organisational factors (e.g., organisational culture), while still varying on the dependent variable.

Contact with policy advisors about sustainable procurement led to the identification of two procurement projects that were expected to differ in their degree of sustainable procurement. Due to confidentiality the procurement process is ongoing not all details regarding the cases can be made public, such as the exact budget or the number of vehicles that will be procured. However, both projects are comparable in size (both are large-scale projects involving millions of euros) and are procured by a dedicated project team, and the procurement process has a duration of longer than one year. Both projects were at the same stage of the procurement project: the selection and award criteria were determined, but the actual awarding of the contract had yet to occur. Studying how the degree of sustainable procurement is influenced by organisational factors requires multiple levels of analysis. Whereas top management support is an organisational level variable (as the top management covers the entire organisation), commitment, and expertise are project level variables (as they are unique to each project). The multilevel character of the research problem requires that we choose nested cases. Hence, a case consists of a procurement project carried out by a project team working in a procurement department that is part of a ministry.

3.2 Data collection

The data collection was mainly based on interviews and internal documents concerning the procurement projects. Ten interviews (five per case) with key actors in the cases were carried out. The interviews were semi-structured. An extensive topic list formed the foundation for the interviews; however, the interviewees were given plenty of room to elaborate on matters or bring in subjects they felt were related to the degree of sustainable

procurement of the project. The topic list contained questions regarding the implementation of sustainable procurement, commitment, the role of the top management, the existence of expertise on sustainable procurement and the implementation process of sustainable procurement. The topics were at both the project and organisational level.

Interviews were held with key actors in the projects. A snowball procedure was used to identify the key persons. Somebody was considered a key actor if he or she had a leading role in the project (e.g., project leader or procurer) or was identified as key actors (with regard to sustainable procurement) by others. In each case, the project leader, procurer, sustainable procurement policy advisors, and their supervisors were considered key actors and were subsequently interviewed. Interviews were carried out until no new respondents were suggested. The interviews, on average, lasted between 1 and 1.5 hours. All interviews were recorded and transcribed verbatim using both a word processor and speech recognition software.

3.3 Data Analysis

The main data sources for the analysis were the interview transcripts. However, internal documents and publicly accessible information about the procurement projects were also studied. To facilitate the analysis process and allow for a more systematic comparison of variables in and across the cases, all the interview transcripts were coded. The transcripts were coded using Atlas.ti. The codes were based on the topic list. During the coding process, however, additional codes were added (back and forth coding). For example, when it became obvious that certain actors were considered to be driving the change, an additional code “actor driving change” was created.

4.0 TWO CASES OF SUSTAINABLE PROCUREMENT

The following sections discuss each case in depth. Each case starts with a short description of the project, followed by our assessment of the degree of sustainable procurement and the process of moving towards this degree. The first case is the procurement of a large number of vehicles by the Defence Materiel Organisation (DMO) as a replacement for the current vehicles. The vehicles will be used in heavy terrain, but not in dangerous situations. In addition to the procurement of the vehicle itself, maintenance will be outsourced for a period of ten years. The degree of sustainable procurement varied throughout the project, starting at a second degree, falling to a first degree, and eventually ended up a mix of first, second and third degrees of sustainable procurement. That the degree of sustainable procurement fluctuated throughout the project shows that the degree of sustainable procurement is a variable that can be influenced.

In the second case, the Ministry of Defence gave the Department of Defence Real Estate (part of the Support Command Shared Service Centre) the assignment to procure the design and development of a real estate project. The design and development was executed via a public tender and was awarded to the candidate with the most economically advantageous tender (MEAT). The degree of sustainable procurement increased throughout the project from a second degree (implementation of the compulsory criteria), to a third degree (sustainable award criteria) to a fourth degree (adding value).

4.1. Vehicles

The Ghanaian Ministry of Defence has highly formalised the procurement process. At each stage of the procurement process, forms have to be filled out. For example, at the beginning of the procurement project the “request to procure” form has to be filled out by the project team. To ascertain that in all procurements the compulsory ecological criteria are applied, a question on the applicability of the criteria is inserted in the form. To complete the (digital) form, the question has to be answered; not answering it will raise questions later in the process. One respondent explained, “You can of course avoid the question, but then further ahead in the project you will get that question again. If you offer the dossier up for the financial round, you will get a “hey, why didn’t you do anything with sustainable procurement?” So sooner or later you will get caught”.

However, the project team showed normative commitment to apply the compulsory ecological criteria. The project team felt they were obligated to do so; the organisation had committed itself to this policy and, therefore, would do what they were expected to do. One respondent explained: “In the program of demands, we of course, this is more or less standard procedure, include the sustainable procurement criteria. This is also what happened now”. That the project team felt they were obligated to apply the criteria are a clear indication of normative commitment to sustainable procurement, as the following quote from a project member demonstrates: “There is no other way; it just has to be done”.

However, when it became apparent that some of the compulsory ecological criteria clashed with the required operational uses of the vehicle, it became a different story. In exceptional situations (regarding the unique situations in which the materiel of the Ministry is used), the Ministry of Defence is formally allowed to forego the ecological criteria. The project team felt that this was the case here. They explained that the off-road usage of the vehicle

prohibited the use of sustainable tyres. One respondent explained, “I can tell you one thing, as soon as you drive into the terrain with that, you won’t get very far. [...] It requires a tread design with larger blocks and greater void, which is less optimal for the other uses. Therefore, we make concessions there. Because you have to be able to drive into terrain with it”. Even though only 5% of the time the vehicles will be driving off road, the project team decided to forego the prescribed criteria with regard to the tyres, thereby decreasing the degree of sustainable procurement.

For some time, it appeared as though the degree of sustainable procurement would remain low. However, later during the evaluation of the formal specification, the low degree of sustainable procurement was noticed. A sustainability advisor noted that the project team had not given enough attention to the sustainability of the vehicles. One of the respondents explained, “He pointed the sustainability aspects of the vehicle out to us. At a certain moment, he said “there is nothing about sustainability in there anymore”. So we fixed that, based on his advice”. The project team received this advice unsolicited. Project teams within the Ministry of Defence consist of generalists rather than specialists. If a project team requires expertise on a matter, they seek expertise outside the project team in the organisation. In this project, the project team did not seek expertise on sustainable procurement. They did, however, seek advice on the health and safety aspects of the formal specification. Nevertheless, the health and safety advisor not only advised them, but also forwarded the formal specification to a sustainability advisor in his team. The sustainability advisor wrote a memo and pointed out a number of possibilities to increase the sustainability of the vehicles.

Although the project team was not required to adopt these recommendations, they did adopt most of them. For example, a number of tools to increase the fuel efficiency of the vehicles were introduced as award criteria for the MEAT approach. One respondent explained, “They are also the people who point out to us what we could include in our formal specification. They often refer us to their site; I do not remember the name now. However, just a national or European site with sustainability tips that you could include in projects. We have also looked at that site. Because of that we have, next to the requirements, added award criteria. This means that if the industry offers us that, they can get extra points”. Although the project team had not solicited additional expertise, receiving it showed them possibilities for sustainable procurement that they had not realised themselves, which shows that they lacked expertise on the matter. Receiving the advice from the advisor, an expert on sustainable procurement, increased their knowledge and thereby increased the degree of sustainable procurement of the project to a mix of first and third degrees of SPP.

However, not all offers of advice were adopted. For example, the advisor suggested purchasing two types of tyres: sustainable tyres for 95% of the time the vehicles were driving on the road and high-traction tyres for when the vehicles were driving off road. This advice was not heeded. One respondent explained, “You can’t keep changing tyres. So, well, that’s the choice you make. [...] This vehicle also has these kinds of requirements. And then your sustainability principles diminish a bit”. Had they followed this advice, the degree of sustainable procurement would have been higher.

Interestingly, the contact between the project team and the advisor was highly formalized. There was no direct contact between the project team and the sustainability advisor, as the two documents (formal specification and memo) were exchanged via the health and safety advisor. The project team did not even know the name of the sustainability advisor, and the advisor had no idea whether the project team adopted his advice. Thus, although the project team did receive additional expertise, the way this was exchanged was rather passive. During the procurement, the top management was perceived as being silent, although the project team management required them to procure sustainably. One respondent said, “We do get guidelines. In this procurement, we did get them, via the staff, but they are an addition to the guidelines from the minister and/or secretary general, or from the secretary of state. I do not know how it gets here. We were told to procure sustainably. They also send pamphlets about that, so it is definitely a guideline here”. However, the information they received about sustainable procurement was generally aimed at the department, and the pamphlet had been placed on the intranet prior to the start of the project. It can thus be concluded that the top management was not actively involved in this project and was neither supportive nor unsupportive.

In the end, nearly all compulsory ecological criteria were applied. The project team showed a normative commitment to apply the compulsory ecological criteria as long as they did not clash with the operational requirements of the vehicles. However, in these situations the Ministry is allowed to forego the compulsory criteria due to the specific usage of their materiel. Therefore, the project team felt that they were allowed to forego the criteria. The degree of sustainable procurement was increased after receiving expert advice on the sustainability of the vehicles. They had not sought after additional expertise themselves, as they felt that they had enough expertise. However, when the expert advice showed them other possibilities, they did feel compelled to adopt this advice and include fuel efficiency tools as award criteria for the MEAT approach.

4.2. Real Estate

The initial assignment and preparations for the real estate project started a long time ago. Unfortunately, cutbacks stalled the project. After a number of years, the project team received approval to start preparing for the tender again. Many documents had to be rewritten, as circumstances and guidelines had changed since the initial start of the project. However, this made it possible to include new ambitions. Soon after the restart, the project team decided that they wanted to include sustainable award criteria in the MEAT approach, thereby increasing the degree of sustainable procurement. One respondent explained, “In this case we, as a team, had already come up with that idea, and we as a team were supporting using that. And to not just go for the minimum criteria, but use sustainability as award criteria”. The project team itself had the ambition of reaching a high degree of sustainable procurement, thus showing affective commitment to sustainable procurement in this project.

Shortly after the project team decided to include sustainable award criteria, a sustain-able procurement policy advisor from their department approached them. Within the infrastructure sector, a joint initiative from several public organisations called “ustain-able Infrastructure” had been launched. The superior of the advisor has a seat on the board of directors of the initiative and would soon chair a meeting on sustainability. They wanted to show that the Ministry of Defense had put sustainable procurement high on the agenda and were looking for a pilot project.

The advisor explains: “We just really want to do it! Of course, I could write more about it, but we also needed actual projects. Therefore, we started to look for projects. I also asked around in our regional offices. At a certain moment, a head of technique in one of our regional offices approached me and said: “this might be a good project”. So, I went down to talk to the project leader. We also had a “sustainable infrastructure day”. We or-ganised this in June, and I invited him to come, to see what it all meant”. During this day, the director of the advisor also spoke to the project leader. The director stated, “I find it important to be there at that moment. To show people “hey, the management team of the real estate department finds this important”, and to support the frontrunners”.

Both the advisor and his superior were actively trying to get more people involved in the sustainable infrastructure initiative. Each of them was trying to achieve this at their own level. Whereas the director was working with directors from other organisations to develop the sector-wide initiative, the advisor was trying to spread the initiative within the department. The director explains, “Well, you always have frontrunners and boosters within the policy department. You always have boosters and people who have that task within our Defence Real Estate Department. My advisor is one of them, and I consider it my task as well to do that”. He explained that he could do this more easily because of the sector-wide initiative: “For me it is also easier to convince my principals. Showing them that we are doing it together with other public organisations and the market. If you do it together, you get a lot more done than when you’re trying to draw attention by yourself”. As the project team had already decided to include sustainability in their MEAT approach, they agreed to become a pilot project for the sustainable infrastructure approach.

Because the project is a pilot project for the sustainable infrastructure approach, building and sharing the expertise gained through this project is an important aspect of the job of the advisor within the project team. By organising workshops, presentations and writing pieces on the intranet, he tried to increase the expertise of the civil servants working in the department on sustainable infrastructure. Later on in the project, the project team became actively involved in the attempts of the advisor to make the department more knowledgeable about the sustainable infrastructure initiative by giving presentations at a workshop organised by the advisor to spread their newly acquired expertise. However, the advisor is also using the experience from the pilot as input for the new version of the Defence Sustainability policy, for which he was asked to write a number of chapters. Thereby, the advisor not only influenced the degree of sustainable procurement within this real estate project but also the degrees of procurement of future real estate projects.

Not only did the affective commitment of the project team influence the degree of sustainable procurement, but also later on in the project a personal initiative from a technician working for the project also directly increased the degree of sustainable procurement. This technician wanted to reuse excess electricity and reduce electricity spillage and costs. One of the respondents explained: “So they transport the excess electricity back to the electricity grid. How simple can it be? It is very reasonable. How-ever, it was one person who stuck his neck out for it. [...] He just felt that way. Moreover, in the end, he made it feasible. We were going to need some extra cables, but it turned out we were able to change the function of leftover cables in the ground, and they could reuse existing underground high-voltage cables on the terrain for the transportation of the electricity”. The technician also showed affective commitment to sustainable procurement by developing a plan on how the project could add electricity (and thereby value) rather than waste it.

The project team indicated that their direct supervisors were not always supportive of their sustainability ambitions and plans. One respondent explained, “Well, it might be indirectly, but you’re still being slowed down. Despite all the ambitions you might have for a project, you are the one who has to realize it. So, the challenge remains

yours at all times. And if you stick your neck out, you are also the one who has to make sure it doesn't get chopped off". The support from the top was more mixed. On the one hand, the project team felt that the top was neutral about it and were communicating about other matters, but on the other hand they also received indications that they were supportive. For example, one respondent said, "Well, that is quite difficult to answer, because I've actually never heard anybody in the top mention anything about it". Another respondent mentioned a top manager who heard about their project and asked them to write an article about it for their internal magazine: "Yes, one of our national directors asked us to do that. He asked us if it was not possible to do that. Earlier I said that our national organisation supports it, and this surely happens via this director".

To conclude, throughout the project the degree of sustainable procurement was increased from a second degree to a fourth degree of sustainable procurement. The increases in the degree of sustainable procurement can be related to the affective commitment of the project team. A sustainable procurement advisor tried to increase both commitment and expertise about sustainable infrastructure, for example, by inviting them to workshops. Later, the project became actively involved in the workshops to spread their newly acquired expertise. The top management was perceived as silent, although one top manager did show support for their high sustainability ambitions in the project.

4.3. Cross Case Comparison

In the previous paragraphs about each case, the process of their degree of sustainable procurement was discussed. To explain what (might) have caused the differences in the degree of sustainability, the cases need to be compared. In the following paragraphs, first the dependent variable (degree of sustainable procurement) is compared, followed by a cross-case comparison of the independent variables.

4.3.1. Degree of Sustainable Procurement

The dependent variable "degree of sustainable procurement" varied both during and across the cases. In the end, the real estate case had a higher degree of sustainable procurement than the vehicle case. The real estate started at a second degree of sustainable procurement (implementation of the criteria); with the decision to include sustainable award criteria, the project reached a third degree of sustainable procurement. Initiatives later in the project increased the degree even further when it became possible to transport excess energy back to the electricity grid and thereby add value to the environment. The vehicle case started at a second degree of sustainable procurement. However, when certain compulsory ecological criteria clashed with the operational requirements of the vehicles, the degree of sustainable procurement decreased. After receiving unsolicited sustainability advice, sustainable award criteria were added (third degree), and the degree of sustainable procurement was increased to a third degree.

4.3.2. Top Management Support

With regard to sustainable procurement, in both cases top management was perceived as silent. Respondents felt that the top is communicating about other issues. This is no real surprise, as both cases share the same top management. However, in the real estate case the project team also felt that the top was neutral and were communicating about other matters. On the other hand, they also received indications that the top was supportive of their initiative to achieve a high degree of sustainable procurement. A top manager who asked them to write an article about the initiative is an example of this support. It can be concluded that the top management is generally perceived similarly in both cases. However, in the case with the highest degree of sustainable procurement there was a positive comment from an individual top manager about their project. Nevertheless, it is unlikely that this factor caused the difference between the degrees of sustainable procurement because the perceptions of the top management are too similar.

In Walker and Brammer's (2009) research, top management support was the most frequently cited facilitator of sustainable procurement. This support was considered crucial for the incorporation of sustainable procurement in procurement processes and procedures and in government policy. In our two cases, sustainable procurement had already been incorporated into government policy and the internal procurement procedures (e.g., the digital procurement form), and the top management had committed themselves to it. Perhaps this is an indication that top management support is important in the beginning at the organisational level (to incorporate it into the organisation), but once this is arranged the degree of sustainable procurement is further affected at the project level by other factors and individual actors.

4.3.3. Commitment

In both cases, the respondents showed commitment to sustainable procurement in their projects. However, the type of commitment did vary across the cases. In the vehicle case, the project team showed a normative commitment to sustainable procurement, whereas the project team of the real estate case showed affective commitment. The sustainability advisors and supervisors involved in each case showed similar commitment. In the

vehicle case, the advisor and supervisor showed normative commitment, and their counterparts in the real estate case showed affective commitment.

If the type of commitment is linked to the degree of sustainable procurement, we see that in the case with the lowest degree of sustainable procurement the project team has a normative commitment. However, in the case with the highest degree of sustainable procurement, the project team has affective commitment to sustainable procurement. This is an indication that it is not merely the existence of commitment that matters; the type of commitment is also important. A closer look at how the degrees of sustainable procurement were reached explains this fact. Normative commitment is about feeling obligated to a change rather than believing in the change itself. Comparing the cases, we see that in the vehicle case very little attention to sustainable procurement was paid until an advisor pointed it out to members of the project team. When they received his advice, they felt an obligation to adhere to it, but had they not received it they would not have had the drive to ask for it themselves. This is the opposite of what happened in the real estate case. There, the project team had already set their ambitions high with regard to sustainable procurement. Prior to their contact with the advisor and throughout the procurement process, their actions were driven by their inherent belief in how their project would benefit from a higher degree of sustainable procurement, even if it meant putting in more time and energy.

It can thus be concluded that the presence of affective commitment (in these cases) can be related to a higher degree of sustainable procurement. It appears that normative commitment does not have the same effect. This is an important addition to existing studies on sustainable procurement and commitment (Michelsen & de Boer, 2009; Walker & Brammer, 2009) that found commitment to be an important factor, but did not distinguish between different types of commitment.

4.3.4. Expertise

In both cases, the project teams consisted of a number of generalists rather than specialists. In the vehicle case, this tendency is heightened by the fact that a number of project team members are military members that are reassigned to a new department every couple of years. If the project team requires expertise on a matter, they seek it outside the project team, but inside the ministry. The type of experts that can be consulted is diverse and ranges from technicians and engineers to lawyers. In the real estate case, at least 27 other civil servants from the ministry assisted and advised the project team.

In both cases, the project teams received expertise from a sustainable procurement policy advisor, and in both cases the advisor approached the project team rather than the other way around. However, the way the expertise was shared was vastly different between the cases. In the vehicle case, the project team had requested additional expertise on the health and safety aspects of the vehicles and additionally received (unsolicited) advice on sustainable procurement. Although they had not requested the advice, upon receiving it they learned that there were a number of ways in which they could increase the sustainability of the vehicles. Had they not received this advice from the expert, they would not have included it in the procurement, and thus, the project team would not have sufficient expertise on sustainable procurement and the expert could not have pointed out several additional sustainability options. The contact between the expert and the project team was highly formalised. The project team and advisor did not meet, call or e-mail; they only exchanged formal documents. The advisor did not even know whether the project team had taken up his advice.

This was vastly different in the real estate case, where a sustainable procurement expert also contacted the project team. However, unlike the vehicle case, this case was a pilot for a sustainable infrastructure approach and was part of a larger learning process. The sustainable procurement policy advisor organised a meeting, presentations, and workshop to educate the entire department on the possibilities of sustainable infrastructure and real estate. At the beginning of the project, the advisor focused on increasing the expertise of the project leader and project team, for example, by inviting them to workshops. Later in the project, the project team itself gave presentations during a workshop organised by the advisor to share their (newly) acquired knowledge about sustainable procurement to their colleagues. The project team had gone from sustainable procurement apprentices to experts.

The project teams were not experts on sustainable procurement in either case. During the real estate case, however, the project team learned much and became involved in spreading their newly acquired expertise. However, there are no indications that the level of expertise of the project team can explain a difference in the degree of sustainable procurement between the cases. Thus, looking at the three factors in the conceptual model, only (affective) commitment appears to be a determinant of the degree of sustainable procurement. This conclusion, however, seems too simple; something else appears to be at hand here.

4.3.5. The Importance of Actors: The Change Agent

Fernandez and Rainey (2006) found considerable evidence that top management support and commitment play an essential role in the success of change initiatives. These two organisational factors were included in the conceptual model. However, only affective commitment appears to be a determinant of the degree of sustainable procurement in these two cases. Moreover, when we compare the process descriptions of the two cases the importance of individual actors becomes apparent. The actions and attitudes of the two actors were especially prominent in the real estate case. This supports the view of Fernandez and Rainey (2006), that change agents can play an important role in commitment and top management support. The change agent is defined as an individual who influences clients' innovation decisions in a direction deemed desirable by a change agency (Rogers, 1995).

The director and his supervisor can be identified as change agents who were actively trying to implement a sector-wide initiative on sustainable infrastructure within the Defence Real Estate Department. The director was a linking pin between the board of directors of the sector-wide initiative and the Defence Real Estate Department. He tried to implement the ideas and plans from the sector-wide initiative in the Defence Real Estate Department. He also supported the actions of the advisor, for example, by talking to the project leader of the real estate case to convince him to become a pilot project for the sustainable infrastructure approach. The advisor is more focused on implementing the approach within the organisation itself. He is not only approaching project teams to ask them to become pilot projects but is also trying to educate the department about the possibilities of sustainable infrastructure as well as institutionalise it in the organisation by making it part of the new organisation-wide Defence sustainability policy. In the vehicle case, a sustainable procurement advisor was also involved. However, his role was passive and focused on giving advice one project at a time, whereas the advisor in the real estate case is actively trying to change the entire department.

With regard to the degree of sustainable procurement, the change agents were responsible for getting the project team involved in the sector-wide initiative and including a number of tools as award criteria that would greatly increase the sustainability of the project. The three factors (top management support, commitment and expertise) can all be related to the actions of the change agents. With regard to top management support, the director felt that top management support was necessary for them to be able to implement the initiative. In his efforts to convince his superiors of the necessity of the approach, he felt backed by his fellow board of director partners in the sector-wide initiative. Although he also perceived the top as silent on the matter, they were giving him permission to use resources to implement the approach and take part in the sector-wide initiative. Both change agents stated that they needed enthusiastic people in procurement projects to help them implement the initiative, and their actions were aimed at increasing the commitment. To increase commitment, the advisor, for example, organised workshops, meetings, and presentations and wrote articles for both the intranet and the internal magazine. Sharing expertise and increasing the expertise of the department with regard to sustainable procurement and sustainable infrastructure, was one of his key activities. Thus, by increasing the expertise of people within the department, about the possibilities of the sustainable infrastructure initiative, he also tried to increase their commitment. This could be an indication that expertise is a moderating variable rather than an independent variable.

5.0 CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that in the case with the higher degree of sustainable procurement there were two people acting as change agents. In the other case, such actors could not be identified. The actions of the change agents were oriented at the three organisational factors within the conceptual model, indicating that the existence of these factors is not static but can be influenced by active change agents.

In the literature on sustainable procurement, much attention is focused on identifying factors that pose barriers to sustainable procurement. However, little attention is paid to how these factors influence the degree of sustainable procurement. In this chapter, we tried to fill that void by using an organisational perspective to answer the question of how organisational factors influence the degree of sustainable procurement in procurement projects in the Ghanaian national government. Based on our empirical results, we can draw three conclusions.

First, the causal process tracing showed that the degree of sustainable procurement fluctuated during both procurement projects. This shows that the degree of sustainable procurement is not static and, even late in the procurement process, can be increased, or decreased. In organisational change literature, several scholars have noted that paying too much attention to single change events prohibits the identification of clear insights (Kuipers et al., 2014; Pettigrew, 1990). Thus, a process approach is crucial to understanding how the organisational factors and the degree of sustainable procurement are related.

Second, our analysis indicates that affective commitment indeed appears to be a determinant of the degree of sustainable procurement. For the other two factors, such a conclusion cannot be drawn. The factor top management support did not vary enough to state that it can influence the degree of sustainable procurement, nor showed the causal

process tracing an increase of the degree of sustainable procurement of the real estate case after the positive remark of the top manager. In both cases, the project team lacked expertise and received advice from a sustainable procurement policy advisor. Although the degree of sustainable procurement increased after the project teams received advice from sustainable procurement policy advisors, this cannot explain the differences in the degrees of sustainable procurement. Simply concluding that only affective commitment is a determinant of the degree of sustainable procurement is too simple and brings us to our next conclusion.

Third, although the process tracing approach showed that the organisational factors matter, it also became apparent that individual actors play an important role in determining the degree of sustainable procurement. In the case with the high degree of sustainable procurement, two important actors were identified. These actors were actively trying to increase commitment, expertise, and top management support for a sustainable procurement initiative. The actions of the change agents were aimed at all three organisational factors: increasing individual commitment, expertise, and top management support. This is in line with Fernandez and Rainey's (2006) conclusion that change agents can play an important role in commitment and top management support in change initiatives. By increasing expertise, they wanted to increase the commitment of individuals, which could be an indication that expertise is a moderating variable rather than an independent variable. In the case with the lower degree of sustainable procurement, such actors could not be identified. In this research, the process approach uncovered the importance of actors in determining the degree of sustainable procurement.

Reference

ACTAL. (2011). *Advies regeldruk programma duurzaam inkopen*. (No. SvE/JvB/JS/2011/001).

Ageron, B., Gunasekaran, A., & Spalanzani, A. (2011). Sustainable supply management: an empirical study. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 140(1), 168-182.

Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behaviour. *Organizational Behaviour and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179-211.

Alcock, I. (2012). Measuring commitment to environmental sustainability: the development of a valid and reliable measure. *Methodological Innovations Online*, 7(2), 13-26.

Allen, J., Jimmieson, N.L., Bordia, P., & Irmer, B.E. (2007). Uncertainty during organizational change:

Managing perceptions through communication. *Journal of Change Management*, 7(2), 187-210.

Antonsen, M., & Jorgensen, T.B. (1997). The 'publicness' of public organizations. *Public Administration*, 75(2), 337-357.

Appolloni, A. (forthcoming). Green procurement: a review of the literature. *International Journal of Logistics Systems and Management*.

Appolloni, A., Sun, H., Jia, F., & Li, X. (2014). Green procurement in the private sector: a state of the art review between 1996 and 2013. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 85(15), 122-133.

Arlbjørn, J.S., & Freytag, P.V. (2012). Public procurement vs. private purchasing: is there any foundation for comparing and learning across the sectors? *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 25(3), 203-220.

Armenakis, A.A., & Bedeian, A.G. (1999). Organizational change: a review of theory and research in the 1990s. *Journal of Management*, 25(3), 293-315.

Armenakis, A.A., Harris, S.G., & Mossholder, K.W. (1993). Creating readiness for organizational change.

Human Relations, 46(6), 681-703.

Babbie, E. (2010). *The practice of social research* (12th edition). Wadsworth: Cengage Learning.

- Baron, R.M., & Kenny, D.A. (1986). Moderator-mediator variables distinction in social psychological research: conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173-1182.
- Becker, M.C., Lazaric, N., Nelson, R.R., & Winter, S.G. (2005). Applying organizational routines in understanding organizational change. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 14(5), 775-791.
- Beer, M., & Nohria, N. (2000). Cracking the code of change. *Harvard Business Review*, 78(3), 133-141.
- Bekkers, V.J.J.M. (2007). *Beleid in beweging: achtergronden, benaderingen, fasen en aspecten van beleid in de publieke sector*. Den Haag: Uitgeverij Lemma.
- Bentler, P.M., & Chou, C. (1987). Practical issues in structural modelling. *Sociological Methods & Re-search*, 16(1), 78-117.
- Berg, B.L. (2009). *Qualitative research methods for the social sciences* (7th edition). Boston, Massachusetts: Pearson Education.
- Blatter, J., & Haverland, M. (2012). *Designing case studies: explanatory approaches in small-n research*. Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Bovens, M.A.P., 't Hart, P., & Van Twist, M.J.W. (2012). *Openbaar Bestuur, Beleid, Organisatie en Politiek*. Deventer: Kluwer.
- Bowen, F.E., Cousins, P.D., Lamming, R.C., & Faruk, A.C. (2001). The role of supply management capabilities in green supply. *Production and Operations Management*, 10(2), 174-189.
- Bozeman, B. (1993). A theory of government "red tape". *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 3(3), 273-304.
- Bozeman, B., & Feeney, M. K. (2011). *Rules and red tape: a prism for public administration theory and research*. Armonk, New York; London, England: M.E. Sharpe.
- Bozeman, B., & Scott, P. (1996). Bureaucratic red tape and formalization: untangling conceptual knots. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 26(1), 1-17.
- Brammer, S., & Walker, H. (2011). Sustainable procurement in the public sector: an international comparative study. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 31(4), 452-476.
- Brandsen, T., & Pestoff, V. (2006). Co-production, the third sector, and the delivery of public services: an introduction. *Public Management Review*, 8(4), 493-501.
- Bratt, C., Hallstedt, S., Robèrt, K., Broman, G., & Oldmark, J. (2013). Assessment of criteria development for public procurement from a strategic sustainability perspective. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 52, 309-316.
- By, R.T., & Macleod, C. (Eds.). (2009). *Managing organizational change in public services: international issues, challenges and cases*. London, England; New York, New York: Routledge.
- Caldwell, N., & Bakker, E. (2008). Procurement process in the public sector: an international perspective. In K. V. Thai (Ed.), *International handbook of public procurement* (pp. 427-441). Boca Raton, Florida: Auerbach Publications.

- Caldwell, R. (2003). Models of change agency: a fourfold classification. *British Journal of Management*, 14(2), 131-142.
- Camilleri, E. (2006). Towards developing an organisational commitment - public service motivation model for the Maltese public service employees. *Public Policy and Administration*, 21(1), 63-83.
- Carter, C.R., & Rogers, D.S. (2008). A framework of sustainable supply chain management: moving toward new theory. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 38(5), 360-387.
- Chan, E.S., Hon, A.H., Chan, W., & Okumus, F. (2014). What drives employees' intentions to implement green practices in hotels? The role of knowledge, awareness, concern, and ecological behaviour. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 40, 20-28.
- Choi, M. (2011). Employees' attitudes toward organizational change: a literature review. *Human Resource Management*, 50(4), 479-500.
- Colquitt, J.A. (2001). On the dimensionality of organizational justice: a construct validation of a measure. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), 386.
- Coram, R., & Burnes, B. (2001). Managing organisational change in the public sector: lessons from the privatisation of the Property Service Agency. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 14(2), 94-110.
- Creswell, J.W., & Clark, V.L. (2011). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (2nd edition). Thousand Oaks, California: Sage.
- Davenport, T.H., & Prusak, L. (1998). *Working knowledge: how organizations manage what they know*. Boston, Massachusetts: Harvard Business Press.
- De Caluwe, L., & Vermaak, H. (2003). *Learning to change: a guide for organization change agents*. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage.
- De Hoogh, A.H.B., Den Hartog, D.N., & Koopman, P.L. (2004). De ontwikkeling van de CLIO: een vragenlijst voor charismatisch leiderschap in organisaties. *Gedrag En Organisatie*, 17(5), 354-381.
- Donders, J.H.M., & Gradus, R. (2007). *De rol van de overheid. Toegang tot de collectieve sector* (pp. 35-58). Den Haag: SDU Uitgevers B.V.
- Dunphy, D., Benn, S., & Griffiths, A. (2007). *Organizational change for corporate sustainability: a Guide for Leaders and Change Agents of the Future*. London, England; New York, New York: Routledge.
- Erdmenger, C. (Ed.). (2003). *Buying into the environment: experiences, opportunities, and potential for eco-procurement*. Sheffield: Greenleaf Publishing.
- Erridge, A., & Hennigan, S. (2012). Sustainable procurement in health and social care in Northern Ireland. *Public Money & Management*, 32(5), 363-370.
- Fedor, D.M., Caldwell, S.D., & Herold, D.M. (2006). The effects of organizational changes on employee commitment: A multilevel investigation. *Personnel Psychology*, 59(1), 1-29.

Feldman, M. S., & Pentland, B. T. (2003). Reconceptualizing organizational routines as a source of flexibility and change. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 48(1), 94-118.

Fernandez, S., & Rainey, H.G. (2006). Managing successful organizational change in the public sector.

Public Administration Review, 66(2), 168-176.

Foster, R.D. (2010). Resistance, justice, and commitment to change. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 21(1), 3-39.

Gerring, J. (2007). *Case study research: principles and practices*. New York, NY: Cambridge University

Press.

Giauque, D., Ritz, A., Varone, F., & Anderfuhren-Biget, S. (2012). Resigned but satisfied: the negative impact of public service motivation and red tape on work satisfaction. *Public Administration*, 90(1), 175-193.

Giunipero, L.C., Hooker, R.E., & Denslow, D. (2012). Purchasing and supply management sustainability:

drivers and barriers. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 18(4), 258-269.

Gold, S., Seuring, S., & Beske, P. (2010). Sustainable supply chain management and inter-organizational resources: a literature review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 17(4), 230-245.

Grandia, J., Steijn, A.J. & Kuipers, B.S. (2015). It's not easy being green: increasing sustainable public procurement behaviour. *Innovation: the European Journal of Social Science Research*, 28(3), 243-260.

Grandia, J. (2015). The role of change agents in sustainable public procurement projects. *Public Money and Management*, 35(2), 119-126.

Grandia, J., Groeneveld, S. M., Kuipers, B. S., & Steijn, A. J. (2013). Sustainable procurement in practice: explaining the degree of sustainable procurement from an organizational perspective. *Rivista Di Politica Economica*, (April/June), 41-66.

Grandia, J., Groeneveld, S. M., Kuipers, B.S., & Steijn, A. J. (2014). Sustainable procurement in practice: explaining the degree of sustainable procurement from an organizational perspective. In F. Decarolis, & M. Frey (Eds.), *Public Procurement's Place in the World: The Charge towards Sustainability and Innovation* (pp. 37-62). Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan.

Grantham, A. (2001). How networks explain unintended policy implementation outcomes: the case of UK rail privatization. *Public Administration*, 79(4), 851-870.

Gray, D.E. (2009). *Doing research in the real world* (2nd edition). London: Sage.

Green, K., Morton, B., & New, S. (1998). Green purchasing and supply policies: do they improve companies' environmental performance? *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 3(2), 89-95.

Griffin, M.A., Neal, A., & Parker, S. K. (2007). A new model of work role performance: positive behavior in uncertain and interdependent contexts. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(2), 327-347.

Günther, E., Hueske, A., Stechemesser, K., & Buscher, L. (2013). The 'why not'—perspective of green purchasing: a multilevel case study analysis. *Journal of Change Management*, 13(4), 407-423.

Günther, E., & Scheibe, L. (2006). The hurdle analysis. a self-evaluation tool for municipalities to identify, analyse and overcome hurdles to green procurement. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 13(2), 61-77.

Hall, R. (1996). *Organizations: structures, processes, and outcomes*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey:

Prentice Hall.

Harland, C.M., Telgen, J., & Callender, G. (2013). International research study of public procurement. In C.M. Harland, G. Nassimbeni & E. Schneller (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Strategic Supply Management* (pp. 374-401). London, England: Sage Publications.

Hawkins, T.G., Gravier, M.J., & Powley, E.H. (2011). Public versus private sector procurement ethics and strategy: what each sector can learn from the other. *Journal of Communication Monographs*, 76(4), 408-420.

Hayes, A.F. (2009). Beyond Baron and Kenny: statistical mediation analysis in the new millennium.

Herold, D.M., Fedor, D.B., Caldwell, S.D., & Liu, Y. (2008). The effects of transformational and change leadership on employees' commitment to a change: a multilevel study. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(2), 346.

Herscovitch, L., & Meyer, J.P. (2002). Commitment to organizational change: extension of a three-component model. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(3), 474.

Higgs, M., & Rowland, D. (2005). All changes great and small: exploring approaches to change and its leadership. *Journal of Change Management*, 5(2), 121-151.

Ho, L.W.P., Dickinson, N.M., & Chan, G. Y. S. (2010). Green procurement in the Asian public sector and the Hong Kong private sector. *Natural Resources Forum*, 34(1), 24-38.

Hodge, G.A., & Greve, C. (2007). Public-private partnerships: an international performance review.

Public Administration Review, 67(3), 545-558.

Hoejmose, S.U., & Adrien-Kirby, A.J. (2012). Socially and environmentally responsible procurement: a literature review and future research agenda of a managerial issue in the 21st century. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 18(4), 232-242.

Jones, B.D. (2003). Bounded rationality and political science: lessons from public administration and public policy. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 13(4), 395.

Jørgensen, T.B., & Bozeman, B. (2007). Public values an inventory. *Administration & Society*, 39(3), 354-381.

Kamerstukken II. (2004-2005). *Vaststelling van de begrotingsstaten van het Ministerie van Volkshuisvesting, Ruimtelijke Ordening en Milieubeheer (XI) voor het jaar 2005*. (No. 29800 xI, nr. 103).

Tweede Kamer der Staten Generaal.

Kanchanapibul, M., Lacka, E., Wang, x., & Chan, H.K. (2014). An empirical investigation of green purchase behaviour among the young generation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 66, 528-536.

Kaplan, S. (1992). *Beyond rationality: clarity-based decision making. Environment, cognition, and action: an integrative multidisciplinary approach*. (171-190). New York: Oxford University Press.

Keizer, R.P., & Blom, M. (2007). Duurzaam inkopen: business as usual. *Overheidsmanagement*, 12, 15-17.

Kendra, K.A., & Taplin, L. J. (2004). Change agent competencies for information technology project managers. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 56(1), 20.

Kickert, W. (2012). How the Dutch government responded to financial, economic, and fiscal crisis. *Public Money & Management*, 32(6), 439-443.

Kim, S. (2005). Individual-level factors and organizational performance in government organizations.

Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, 15(2), 245-261.

Klijn, E., Steijn, A. J., & Edelenbos, J. (2010). The impact of network management on outcomes in governance networks. *Public Administration*, 88(4), 1063-1082.

Kline, R.B. (2011). *Principles and practice of structural equation modelling*. New York, New York:

Guilford press.

Knutsson, H., & Thomasson, A. (2014). Innovation in the public procurement process: a study of the creation of innovation-friendly public procurement. *Public Management Review*, 16(2), 242-255.

Kotter, J.P. (1995). Leading change - why transformation efforts fail. *Harvard Business Review*, 73(2), 59-67.

Kuipers, B. S., Higgs, M. J., Kickert, W. J. M., Tummers, L. G., Grandia, J., & Van der Voet, J. (2014). The management of change in public organisations: a literature review. *Public Administration*, 92(1), 1-20.

Lange, S., Telgen, J., & Schotanus, F. (Forthcoming). *Green public procurement in academic literature: a survey*. DOI: 10.13140/2.1.1886.6567.

Levitt, B., & March, J.G. (1988). Organizational learning. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 14, 319-340.

Lokhorst, A.M., Werner, C., Staats, H., Dijk, E., van, & Gale, J.L. (2013). Commitment and behavior change: a meta-analysis and critical review of commitment-making strategies in environmental research. *Environment and Behavior*, 45(1), 3-34.

Lozano, R. (2012). Towards better embedding sustainability into companies' systems: an analysis of voluntary corporate initiatives. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 25, 14-26.

McLaughlin, M.W. (1990). The Rand change agent study revisited: macro perspectives and micro realities. *Educational Researcher*, 19(9), 11-16.

McNulty, T., & Ferlie, E. (2004). Process transformation: limitations to radical organizational change within public service organizations. *Organization Studies*, 25(8), 1389-1412.

Meehan, J., & Bryde, D. (2011). Sustainable procurement practice. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 20(2), 94-106.

Meier, K.J., & O'Toole, L.J. (2013). Subjective organizational performance and measurement error: common source bias and spurious relationships. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 23(2), 429-456.

Melissen, F., & Reinders, H. (2012). A reflection on the Dutch sustainable public procurement programme. *Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences*, 9(1), 27-36.

Metselaar, E.E. (1997). *Assessing the willingness to change: construction and validation of the DINAMO*.

Amsterdam: Free University of Amsterdam Press.

Meyer, J.P., & Herscovitch, L. (2001). Commitment in the workplace: toward a general model. *Human Resource Management Review*, 11(3), 299-326.

Meyer, J.P., Stanley, D.J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnytsky, L. (2002). Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: a meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 61(1), 20-52.

Michaelis, B., Stegmaier, R., & Sonntag, K. (2010). Shedding light on followers' innovation implementation behaviour: the role of transformational leadership, commitment to change, and climate for initiative. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 25(4), 408-429.

Michel, A., Stegmaier, R., & Sonntag, K. (2010). I scratch your back—you scratch mine. Do procedural justice and organizational identification matter for employees' cooperation during change? *Journal of Change Management*, 10(1), 41-59.

Michelsen, O., & de Boer, L. (2009). Green procurement in Norway; a survey of practices at the municipal and county level. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 91(1), 160-167.

Ministry of Infrastructure and the Environment. *Inkopers die leveren*. Retrieved from <https://www.werkenvoornederland.nl/vacatures/detail/inkopers-die-leveren>.

Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations. (2014). *Feiten en Cijfers Werken in de publieke sector*. (No. B-19311). The Hague, the Netherlands: Ministerie van Binnenlandse Zaken en Koninkrijk-srelaties, Directie Arbeidszaken Publieke Sector.

Monczka, R.M., Handfield, R.B., Giunipero, L.C., & Patterson, J.L. (2009). *Purchasing and supply chain management* (4th edition). Mason, Ohio: South-Western.

Moon, M.J., & Bretschneider, S. (2002). Does the perception of red tape constrain IT innovativeness in organizations? Unexpected results from a simultaneous equation model and implications. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 12(2), 273-292.

Moore, M.H. (1995). *Creating public value: strategic management in government*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.

Morgan, D., & Zeffane, R. (2003). Employee involvement, organizational change and trust in management. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 14(1), 55-75.

Moynihan, D.P., & Pandey, S.K. (2007). The role of organizations in fostering public service motivation.

Public Administration Review, 67(1), 40-53.

Murray, J.G. (2000). Effects of a green purchasing strategy: the case of Belfast City Council. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 5(1), 37-44.

Murray, J.G. (2009). Improving the validity of public procurement research. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 22(2), 91-103.

Nawrocka, D. (2008). Inter-organizational use of EMSs in supply chain management: some experiences from Poland and Sweden. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 15(5), 260-269.

Noble, C.H., & Mokwa, M.P. (1999). Implementing marketing strategies: developing and testing a managerial theory. *The Journal of Marketing*, 63(4), 57-73.

O'Brien, G. (2002). Participation as the key to successful change: a public sector case study. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 23(8), 442-455.

- Osborne, S. (2002). *Public-private partnerships: theory and practice in international perspective*. London, England: Routledge.
- Palmujoki, A., Parikka-Alhola, K., & Ekroos, A. (2010). Green public procurement: analysis on the use of environmental criteria in contracts. *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law*, 19(2), 250-262.
- Pandey, S.K., & Scott, P.G. (2002). Red tape: a review and assessment of concepts and measures. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 12(4), 553-580.
- Parikka-Alhola, K. (2008). Promoting environmentally sound furniture by green public procurement. *Ecological Economics*, 68(1), 472-485.
- Parish, J.T., Cadwallader, S., & Busch, P. (2008). Want to, need to, ought to: employee commitment to organizational change. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 21(1), 32-52.
- Perez-Sanchez, D., Barton, J.R., & Bower, D. (2003). Implementing environmental management in SMEs. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 10(2), 67-77.
- Pettigrew, A.M. (1990). Longitudinal field research on change: theory and practice. *Organization Science*, 1(3), 267-292.
- PIANOo. (2 April, 2013). *Inkoopproces*. Retrieved from <http://www.pianoo.nl/inkoopproces>.
- PIANOo. (2014). *Productgroepen*. Retrieved from <http://www.pianoo.nl/themas/duurzaam-inkopen/productgroepen>.
- PIANOo. (2015). *MVI-thema's*. Retrieved from <http://www.pianoo.nl/themas/maatschappelijk-verantwoord-inkopen-duurzaam-inkopen/mvi-thema-s>.
- Podsakoff, P.M., MacKenzie, S.B., & Bommer, W.H. (1996). Transformational leader behaviors and substitutes for leadership as determinants of employee satisfaction, commitment, trust, and organizational citizenship behaviors. *Journal of Management*, 22(2), 259-298.
- Podsakoff, P.M., MacKenzie, S.B., Lee, J., & Podsakoff, N.P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879.
- Podsakoff, P.M., & Organ, D.W. (1986). Self-reports in organizational research: problems and prospects. *Journal of Management*, 12(4), 531-544.
- Preacher, K.J., & Hayes, A.F. (2004). SPSS and SAS procedures for estimating indirect effects in simple mediation models. *Behaviour Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 36(4), 717-731.
- Preuss, L. (2009). Addressing sustainable development through public procurement: the case of local government. *Supply Chain Management-an International Journal*, 14(3), 213-223.
- Qiao, Y., & Wang, C. (2011). Issues and challenges in implementing China's green public procurement program. *Journal of Environmental Protection*, 2(8), 1034-1045.
- Raadschelders, J.C. (1999). A coherent framework for the study of public administration. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 9(2), 281-304.

- Rainey, H.G., Backoff, R.W., & Levine, C.H. (1976). Comparing public and private organizations. *Public Administration Review*, 36(2), 233-244.
- Renda, A., Pelkmans, J., Egenhofer, C., Schrefler, L., Luchetta, G., Selçuki, C., . . . Zirnhelt, A. (2012). *The uptake of green public procurement in the EU27*. (No. Study - Fwc B4/ENTR/08/006). Brussels: European Union.
- Rijksoverheid. (a). *Aanbesteden*. Retrieved from <http://www.rijksoverheid.nl/onderwerpen/aanbesteden>.
- Rijksoverheid. (b). *Duurzaam inkopen door overheden*. Retrieved from <http://www.rijksoverheid.nl/onderwerpen/duurzaam-inkopen/duurzaam-inkopen-door-overheden>.
- Rogers, E. M. (1995). *Diffusion of innovations* (4th edition). New York New York: The Free Press.
- Rolfstam, M. (2009). Public procurement as an innovation policy tool: the role of institutions. *Science and Public Policy*, 36(5), 349-360.
- Rolfstam, M. (2012). An institutional approach to research on public procurement of innovation. *In-novation: The European Journal of Social Science Research*, 25(3), 303-321.
- Schapper, P.R., Malta, J.V., & Gilbert, D.L. (2006). An analytical framework for the management and reform of public procurement. *Journal of Public Procurement*, 6(1/2), 1.
- Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(15), 1699-1710.
- Sharfman, M., Ellington, R.T., & Meo, M. (1997). The next step in becoming “green” life-cycle oriented environmental management. *Business Horizons*, 40(3), 13-22.
- Snell, P. (2006). Struggle with sustainability. *Supply Management*, 11(23), 7-7.
- Sørensen, E., & Torfing, J. (2007). *Theories of democratic network governance*. Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Swanborn, P.G. (2002). *Basisboek sociaal onderzoek* (4th edition). Amsterdam: Boom.
- Telgen, J., Harland, C.M., & Knight, L.A. (2007). Public procurement in perspective. In L. A. Knight, C. M. Harland, J. Telgen, G. Callender, K.V. Thai & K.E. McKen (Eds.), *Public Procurement: International Cases and Commentary* (pp. 16-24). Abingdon, England; New York, New York: Routledge.
- Testa, F., Iraldo, F., Frey, M., & Daddi, T. (2012). What factors influence the uptake of GPP (green public procurement) practices? New evidence from an Italian survey. *Ecological Economics*, 82, 88-96.
- Thai, K.V. (2001). Public procurement re-examined. *Journal of Public Procurement*, 1(1), 9-50.
- Thomas, A.G. (1919). *Principles of government purchasing*. New York, New York; London, England: D. Appleton and company.
- Uyarra, E., & Flanagan, K. (2010). Understanding the innovation Impacts of public procurement. *Euro-pean Planning Studies*, 18(1), 123-143.
- Vakola, M., & Nikolaou, I. (2005). Attitudes towards organizational change: what is the role of employ-ees’ stress and commitment? *Employee Relations*, 27(2), 160-174.
- Van der Heijden, A., Cramer, J.M., & Driessen, P. J. (2012). Change agent sense making for sustainability in a multinational subsidiary. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 25(4), 535-559.

- Van der Voet, J. (2014). *Leading change in public organizations: a study about the role of leadership in the implementation of organizational change in a public sector context*. (Doctoral dissertation).
- Van Weele, A.J. (2005). *Purchasing and supply chain management: analysis, strategy, planning and practice* (4th edition.). London, England: Thomson learning.
- Varnas, A., Balfors, B., & Faith-Ell, C. (2009). Environmental consideration in procurement of construction contracts: current practice, problems and opportunities in green procurement in the Swedish construction industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 17(13), 1214-1222.
- Vermeeren, B. (2014). *HRM implementation and performance in the public sector*. (Doctoral Dissertation).
- Verweij, S., Klijn, E., Edelenbos, J., & Van Buuren, A. (2013). What makes governance networks work? A fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis of 14 Dutch spatial planning projects. *Public Administration*, 91(4), 1035-1055.
- Voorberg, W.H., Bekkers, V.J.J.M., & Tummers, L.G. (2014). A systematic review of co-creation and co-production: embarking on the social innovation journey. *Public Management Review*, 17(9), 1333-1357.
- Waldo, D. (1955). *The study of public administration*. Garden City, New York: Doubleday and Company, Inc.
- Walker, H., & Brammer, S. (2009). Sustainable procurement in the United Kingdom public sector. *Supply Chain Management - an International Journal*, 14(2), 128-137.
- Walker, H.J., Armenakis, A.A., & Bernerth, J.B. (2007). Factors influencing organizational change efforts: an integrative investigation of change content, context, process, and individual differences. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 20(6), 761-773.
- Yin, R.K. (2009). *Case study research: design and methods* (4th edition). Los Angeles, London, New Delhi, Singapore, Washington DC: Sage Publications.
- Yukl, G. (1999). An evaluation of conceptual weaknesses in transformational and charismatic leadership theories. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 10(2), 285-305.
- Zhu, Q., Geng, Y., & Sarkis, J. (2013). Motivating green public procurement in China: an individual level perspective. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 126, 85-95.