IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4">https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4</a> Web: <a href="https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/">https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/</a>

# Sustainable Supply Chain Management and Performance Outcomes: Examining the Moderating Influence of Dynamic Capabilities

#### Lord Emmanuel Yamoah

Procurement and Supply Department, Faculty of Business
Takoradi Technical University (TTU)

ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0001-8684-3038">https://orcid.org/0009-0001-8684-3038</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:lord.yamoah@ttu.edu.gh">lord.yamoah@ttu.edu.gh</a>

#### **David Ackah**

Knutsford Business School, Knutsford University, Accra ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5709-4787">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5709-4787</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:drackah@ipmp.edu.gh/drdavidackah@gmail.com">drdavidackah@gmail.com</a>

\*Correspondence: Lord Emmanuel Yamoah, email: lord.yamoah@ttu.edu.gh

#### **Abstract**

This study seeks to examine the moderating influence of dynamic capabilities on the relationship between sustainable supply chain management (SSCM) practices and supply chain performance outcomes. Grounded in the Resource-Based View (RBV) and Dynamic Capabilities Theory, the research adopts a quantitative survey design to collect data from 91 manufacturing firms within the Greater Accra region of Ghana. The findings indicate that both SSCM practices and dynamic capabilities independently exert a strong positive impact on supply chain performance. However, dynamic capabilities do not significantly moderate the relationship between SSCM practices and performance outcomes.

These results suggest that while dynamic capabilities are essential for improving performance, their role as a moderator in the SSCM-performance nexus may be context-dependent. The study recommends that managers embed sustainability principles throughout their supply chains and continuously develop dynamic capabilities by leveraging environmental scanning, strategic agility, and industry 4.0 technologies. Additionally, it emphasizes the importance of conducting feasibility assessments and strategic evaluations prior to implementing SSCM initiatives to determine the potential role of dynamic capabilities in enhancing sustainability outcomes. This research contributes to both academic literature and managerial practice by offering new insights into the interaction between sustainability and dynamic capabilities within supply chain contexts.

**Keywords:** Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM) Supply Chain Performance, Dynamic Capabilities, Resource-Based View (RBV), Sustainability, Manufacturing Firme, Environmental Sustainability, Strategic Agility, Industry 4.0, Supply Chain Strategy, Performance Outcomes, Moderating Effect, Ghana Manufacturing Sector, Quantitative Research, Supply Chain Practices

**Citation:** Yamoah, E., L., & Ackah, D., (2025), "Sustainable Supply Chain Management and Performance Outcomes: Examining the Moderating Influence of Dynamic Capabilities", Integrated Journal of Management Science, 2025, 5(1): pp.85-113. DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4">https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4</a>

Submitted: 01 June, 2025 | Accepted: 18 July, 2025 | Published: 28 July, 2025

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the concept of sustainability has become a pivotal focus in supply chain management as firms seek to align operational efficiency with environmental and social responsibilities. Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM) integrates environmental, economic, and social considerations into traditional supply chain practices, aiming to minimize negative ecological impacts while maintaining profitability and stakeholder satisfaction (Ahi &





IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4">https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4</a> Web: <a href="https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/">https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/</a>

Searcy, 2015; Centobelli et al., 2020). In the context of developing economies, the transition toward SSCM has gained traction as companies face increasing pressure from regulatory bodies, consumers, and international partners to embrace sustainable practices (Bai et al., 2022).

While numerous studies have confirmed the positive relationship between SSCM practices and supply chain performance (Dubey et al., 2017; Ghadge et al., 2020), the mechanisms through which these practices translate into tangible outcomes remain a subject of academic inquiry. Specifically, the role of dynamic capabilities the firm's ability to integrate, build, and reconfigure internal and external competencies in response to changing environments is increasingly recognized as a critical enabler of SSCM (Teece, 2018; Rialti et al., 2020). Dynamic capabilities such as strategic agility, environmental sensing, and knowledge transformation empower firms to adapt sustainable practices more effectively and improve performance across operational, financial, and sustainability metrics.

This study is grounded in the Resource-Based View (RBV) and the Dynamic Capabilities Theory, which together suggest that competitive advantage arises not only from valuable and rare resources but also from the firm's ability to renew and reconfigure these resources to match environmental changes (Barney, 1991; Teece, 2018). The moderating role of dynamic capabilities in the SSCM-performance relationship has not been extensively explored, particularly in the context of manufacturing sectors in developing economies like Ghana, where institutional, infrastructural, and technological challenges differ significantly from those in developed nations (Acheampong et al., 2023; Essel et al., 2021).

This study addresses this gap by examining how dynamic capabilities influence the relationship between SSCM practices and supply chain performance among manufacturing firms in Ghana's Greater Accra region. By doing so, the research aims to contribute to both theory and practice by providing empirical evidence on the interplay between sustainability and organizational adaptability, and offering practical guidance for managers seeking to enhance supply chain performance through sustainable and agile strategies.

#### 2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1. Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM)

Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM) has emerged as a critical field of research and practice as organizations strive to integrate environmental and social considerations into supply chain operations without compromising economic objectives (Seuring & Müller, 2008). SSCM involves the strategic coordination of traditional supply chain functions such as procurement, production, and logistics, with an emphasis on minimizing ecological footprints and promoting social equity (Ahi & Searcy, 2015). In particular, SSCM practices include green procurement, eco-design, cleaner production, reverse logistics, and supplier environmental collaboration (Zhu et al., 2017). These practices are not only vital for achieving regulatory compliance and improving corporate image but also for enhancing long-term competitiveness and supply chain resilience (Touboulic & Walker, 2015).

In developing countries, the adoption of SSCM practices has gained attention due to growing environmental degradation and stakeholder pressures (Acheampong et al., 2023). However, barriers such as limited technological capacity, weak regulatory frameworks, and resource constraints often hinder effective implementation (Govindan et al., 2014). Despite these challenges, empirical evidence suggests that firms in such contexts can benefit from SSCM adoption, especially when supported by innovation and adaptive capabilities (Zhu & Sarkis, 2004).

#### 2.2. Supply Chain Performance Outcomes

Supply chain performance is a multidimensional construct that includes financial, operational, environmental, and social performance indicators (Gunasekaran et al., 2004). Financial performance encompasses cost efficiency and profitability, while operational performance refers to delivery reliability, lead time, and inventory turnover. Environmental and social performance, on the other hand, are concerned with emissions reduction, resource efficiency, labor rights, and community engagement (Dubey et al., 2017).

Previous research has found a positive correlation between SSCM practices and improved supply chain performance. For example, Rao and Holt (2005) found that environmentally conscious supply chains achieved superior performance outcomes due to improved resource





IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4">https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4</a> Web: <a href="https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/">https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/</a>

utilization and stakeholder trust. Similarly, Ghadge et al. (2020) demonstrated that sustainable practices enhance operational flexibility and market competitiveness. However, the strength of this relationship is often contingent on the firm's internal capabilities and the external business environment.

#### 2.3. Dynamic Capabilities in Supply Chain Management

Dynamic capabilities refer to a firm's ability to sense, seize, and reconfigure resources and routines in response to changing market and environmental conditions (Teece, 2007). In supply chain management, dynamic capabilities enable firms to adapt to disruptions, technological changes, and evolving customer expectations (Wamba et al., 2020). These capabilities include strategic agility, environmental sensing, innovation capability, supply chain integration, and knowledge transformation (Rialti et al., 2020).

The literature suggests that dynamic capabilities are instrumental in bridging the gap between SSCM and performance outcomes. For instance, Centobelli et al. (2020) argue that dynamic capabilities such as big data analytics and cross-functional collaboration enhance the effectiveness of SSCM initiatives. Moreover, firms with high levels of dynamic capabilities are better equipped to manage the complexity and uncertainty associated with sustainability transitions (Dubey et al., 2019).

#### 2.4. Moderating Role of Dynamic Capabilities

While SSCM practices directly impact supply chain performance, recent studies emphasize the importance of dynamic capabilities as moderators in this relationship. Dynamic capabilities can enhance or constrain the effect of SSCM on performance depending on how well they are aligned with sustainability objectives (Beske et al., 2014). For example, a firm with robust environmental sensing capabilities may be more adept at anticipating regulatory changes, allowing for proactive adjustments in SSCM strategies (Sarkis et al., 2020).

However, empirical research on the moderating role of dynamic capabilities remains limited and somewhat inconclusive. Some studies suggest that the benefits of SSCM are fully realized only when dynamic capabilities are present at a high level (Singh & El-Kassar, 2019), while others argue that the direct effects of SSCM may outweigh any moderation (Kouhizadeh & Sarkis, 2018). This ambiguity highlights the need for further investigation, particularly in emerging markets where contextual factors may influence the interaction between SSCM and dynamic capabilities.

#### 2.5. Research Gap and Theoretical Foundation

Despite the growing body of knowledge on SSCM and dynamic capabilities, there is a notable gap in understanding how these concepts interact to influence performance outcomes, especially in Sub-Saharan Africa. Most empirical studies have focused on developed economies, with limited attention to the institutional and operational realities of developing countries such as Ghana (Essel et al., 2021). Moreover, the role of dynamic capabilities as a moderator—rather than a mediator or antecedent—has received less scholarly attention.

This study is grounded in the Resource-Based View (RBV) and Dynamic Capabilities Theory, which together provide a robust lens for understanding how internal resources and adaptive competencies interact to shape firm performance in dynamic environments (Barney, 1991; Teece, 2018). By investigating the moderating influence of dynamic capabilities on the SSCM-performance relationship, the study seeks to contribute novel insights to the literature and inform strategic decision-making in sustainability-oriented supply chains.

#### 3.0 METHODOLOGY

This study assesses the moderating effect of dynamic supply chain capability on the relationship between Sustainable supply chain management practices and supply chain performance. It presents the research design, population, sampling technique, data collection methods, data analysis, validity and reliability and ethical considerations.

#### 3.1 Research Design

A research design provides the foundation for data gathering and analysis. This study adopted the explanatory research design. Explanatory research is a method developed to



IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4 Web: https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/

investigate a phenomenon that has not been studied or explained properly. The study examines the relationship between Sustainable supply chain management practices, supply chain performance and dynamic supply chain capability.

A Research Strategy is a step-by-step plan of action that directs your thoughts and efforts, enabling you to conduct research systematically and on schedule to produce quality results and detailed reporting. Regarding the research strategy, the study chose a survey targeting employees of Manufacturing firms operating within the Greater Accra Region.

The program and procedures that span from broad assumptions to particular data collection, analysis, and interpretation approaches are referred to as the research approach. The research may be qualitative, quantitative, or a combination of the two (Ackroyd and O'Toole, 2010). Quantitative research is characterised by using logical techniques in the research process to prove, reject, or add credence to existing concepts. This research involves measuring variables and analysing the relationships to discover patterns, correlations, or causal linkages. Qualitative research is generally characterised by inductive information production techniques centred on generating meaning (Leavy, 2013). This study adopted the quantitative approach, involving developing and testing hypotheses.

#### 3.2 Population of the Study

According to Johnson and Onwuegbuzie (2004), a population is a collection of people, things, or numerical values that an investigator wants to study. The population of this study comprises employees, staff, suppliers, and customers of manufacturing firms operating in the Greater Accra Region. The estimated target population for the study is five hundred (500). Companies target include Nestle Ghana Limited, Unilever Ghana Limited, Coca-Cola Bottling Company of Ghana, Guinness Ghana Breweries Limited, Fan Milk Limited, Ghana Textile Print (GTP) Limited, Printex Limited, Olam Ghana Limited and Wilmar Africa Limited (PZ Cussons Ghana Limited).

#### 3.3 Sample Size and Sampling Technique

Probability and non-probability are the two types of sampling. Everyone in the research population is equally likely to be selected in probability sampling. Non-probability sampling is a method of selecting units from a population using a subjective (i.e. non-random) method (Babbie, 2011). This study used convenient sampling to draw one hundred samples from the target population. Convenience sampling is a type of non-probability sampling that involves the sample being drawn from that part of the population that is close to hand. The researcher chose convenient sampling because it is not costly, not as time consuming as other sampling strategies, and simplistic. The researcher chose 100 because of the considerations of time and cost. A sample of one hundred reduced the high cost of data collection, as well as giving the researcher the time to collect the required data within the time allocated by the school.

#### 3.4 Data Collection Method

Data collection is the procedure of collecting, measuring and analysing accurate insights for research using standard validated techniques. This section focuses on the sources of data and the data collection procedure. The study makes use of primary data. Primary data is information collected from first-hand sources for a specific purpose (Bell and Roberts, 1984). The study adopted primary data, that is, a questionnaire. An online questionnaire designed using google forms was sent to the respondents' emails and other preferred online channels. Before discussing with each respondent, the researcher asked for their permission and consent to participate in the study. Having received the respondents' responses, the researcher sent messages to each respondent to show appreciation for the time taken to respond to the questionnaire.

The questionnaire consisted of four sections. Section A detailed the demographics of the respondents. Section B provided the measures of Sustainable supply chain management practices, the predictor variable. Section C provided the measures of dynamic supply chain capability, the moderating variable. Section D provided the measures of the outcome variable, supply chain performance. The elements used to measure each construct in the questionnaire are listed in table 3.1 below.

IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4">https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4</a> Web: <a href="https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/">https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/</a>

Table 3.1 Summary of Measurement Items

Variables	No. of Items	Sources
Sustainable Supply Chain Management Practices		
• Sustainable product design	5	Paulraj et al. (2017)
• Sustainable process design	5	Paulraj et al. (2017)
<ul> <li>Supply-side sustainability collaboration</li> </ul>	5	Paulraj et al. (2017)
Supply Chain Dynamic Capabilities		
<ul> <li>Strategic sense-making capacity</li> </ul>	5	Li and Liu (2014)
• Timely decision-making capacity	4	Li and Liu (2014)
• Change implementation capacity	5	Li and Liu (2014)
Supply Chain Performance	111111	
Reliability Performance	5	Asamoah et al. (2021)
Efficiency Performance	4	Asamoah et al. (2021)
Flexibility Performance	5	Asamoah et al. (2021)

Source: Author's Construct (2022)

#### 3.5 Data Analysis

Data analysis is analysing, cleansing, transforming, and modelling data to discover useful information, draw conclusions, and assist decision-making. The researcher used both descriptive and inferential analytic methods. The descriptive statistics were used to describe the extent of Sustainable supply chain management practices, dynamic supply chain capability and performance. The researcher also used ordinary linear regression to assess hypotheses one and two. Moderated hierarchical regression to evaluate the third hypothesis of the study. All analyses are carried out using IBM SPSS, version 26.

#### 3.6 Reliability and Validity Test

When doing research, two essential variables to consider are validity and reliability. The repetition of study results and the consistency of the measures used to assess each component are concerned with reliability. On the other hand, validity refers to the degree to which an indicator used to evaluate an idea accurately measures that idea (Koro-Ljungberg, 2008). The collected data was tested using Alpha Cronbach (reliability) and exploratory factor analysis (validity) to verify the research meets reliability and validity standards.

#### 3.8 Ethical Consideration

A collection of rules that regulate how researchers behave themselves is referred to as research ethics (Bryman, 2009). The research emphasises two key concerns to guarantee that all ethical standards are met: anonymity and secrecy. The study ensures that the research instrument does not require respondents to give names or other highly sensitive information to

IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4 Web: https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/

maintain anonymity. Regarding confidentiality, all data collected will be used only for academic reasons

#### 4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

#### 4.1 Introduction

This chapter focuses on analysing the data gathered from the respondents and contains the response rate, reliability and validity test, descriptive statistics, Inferential statistics and discussion of results.

#### 4.2 Response Rate

A total of hundred (100) online questionnaires were distributed to respondents. Out of this, a total of ninety-one (91) responses were received, giving a 91% response rate.

#### 4.3 Demographics of Respondents

Respondent demographics are critical in determining how respondents react to questionnaires; hence, it is critical to analyse these demographic aspects and assess their potential effect on the research output. Consequently, demographic variables such as gender, age, employment experience, and educational attainment are suitably examined.

Table 4.1 Background Information on Respondents

CONSTRUCTS	LJMS	FREQUENC Y	PERCENTAGE (%)
Length of operation	1-5	21	23.1
	6-10	18	19.8
	11-15	25	27.5
	Above 15 years	27	29.7
Gender of Respondents	Male	57	62.6
	Female	34	37.4
Age of Respondent	Below 20 years	-	-
	20 to 29	9	9.9
1170	30 to 39	44	48.4
	40 to 50	30	33
	Above 50 years	8	8.8
Working experience of respondents	1-5 years	23	25.3
•	6-10 years	19	20.9
	11-15 years	28	30.8
	Above 15 years	21	23.1
The educational level of respondents	HND	17	18.7
_	1st Degree	40	44
	Masters	24	26.4
	PhD	1	1.1
	Professional	7	7.7
	Others	2	2.2

Source: Field study (2022)

Table 4.1 presents the demographic results of the study. The table revealed that 70.3% of the responding firms have operated not more than 15 years, whiles the remaining 29.7% have existed for more than 15 years. 62.6% of the respondents are males, and the remaining 37.4%





IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4">https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4</a> Web: <a href="https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/">https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/</a>

are females. 92% of the respondents are not more than 50 years, whilst the remaining 8.8% are above 50 years old. The table also revealed that 25.3% of the respondents have no more than 5 years of working experience, 20.9% between 6 to 10 years of experience, 30.8% between 11 to 15 years of experience and 23.1 above 15 years. The table also indicates that 18.7% of respondents have a minimum qualification of HND, 44%  $1^{\rm st}$  degree, 26.4% have Masters, 1.1% have PhD, 7.7 professional qualifications and 2.2% with other qualifications

#### 4.4 Descriptive Statistics

In this section, the computed descriptive statistics for sustainable supply chain management practices, supply chain dynamic capability and supply chain performance are analysed using mean, minimum, maximum and standard deviation

#### 4.4.1 Sustainable Supply Chain Management Practices

Sustainable supply chain management practices, the predictor variable is operationalised using fifteen items adopted from Paulraj et al. (2017). Table 4.2 illustrates the descriptive study of Sustainable supply chain management practices

Table 4.2 Descriptive statistics for Sustainable supply chain management practices

Items	Max	Min	Mean	SD
When designing products, we pay attention to				
reduced consumption of material/energy	1	7	5.21	1.354
When designing products, we pay attention to				
reuse, recycling, and/or recovery of material	1	7	5.11	1.32
We design our products to use environmentally friendly materials	1	7	5.22	1.365
We design our products with standardised components to facilitate reuse	1	7	5.23	1.257
We use life cycle analysis to evaluate the environmental impacts of our products		7	5.24	1.089
The design of our processes is heavily dependent on sustainability goals	1	7	5.19	1.192
We evaluate our existing processes to reduce	1	1	3.19	1.192
their impact on the environment	3	7	5.44	1.087
We have a formal design for environment		161		
guidelines for process design	2	7	5.33	1.055
We constantly reengineer our processes to reduce their environmental impact	1	7	5.32	1.191
We improve the environmental friendliness of our production	2	7	5.36	1.188
We cooperate with our suppliers to achieve sustainability objectives	2	7	5.42	1.106
We provide our suppliers with sustainability			0.12	1.100
requirements for their processes	3	7	5.48	1.037
We collaborate with our suppliers to provide products and/or services that support our sustainability goals	4	7	5.45	1.057
We develop a mutual understanding of	<u> </u>	1	3.43	1.037
responsibilities regarding sustainability performance with our suppliers	3	7	5.49	1.037
We conduct joint planning to anticipate and	<u> </u>	1	3.49	1.037
resolve sustainability-related problems with our	2	7	5.26	1.052
suppliers TOTAL SCORE		1	5.20	1.054
Source: Field study	3.6	7	5.3172	0.78154

Source: Field study (2022)





IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4">https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4</a> Web: <a href="https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/">https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/</a>

The table presents descriptive statistics for sustainable supply chain management practices, including maximum, minimum, mean, and standard deviation (SD) for each item, as well as a total score. When designing products, the study found that the mean values for paying attention to reduced consumption of material/energy, reuse/recycling/recovery of material, and environmentally friendly materials were above 5, indicating that these practices are relatively well established. The mean value for designing products with standardized components to facilitate reuse was slightly higher, indicating that this practice is more established.

Meanwhile, the use of life cycle analysis to evaluate the environmental impacts of products received the highest mean value of 5.24, indicating that this practice is very established. Regarding the design of processes, the study found that the mean values for the design's heavy dependence on sustainability goals, the evaluation of existing processes to reduce their impact on the environment, and the formal design for environment guidelines for process design were all above 5, indicating that these practices are well established.

The means for constantly reengineering processes to reduce their environmental impact and improving the environmental friendliness of production were also high, indicating that these practices are quite established. In terms of collaboration with suppliers, the mean values for cooperating with suppliers to achieve sustainability objectives, providing suppliers with sustainability requirements for their processes, collaborating with suppliers to provide products and/or services that support sustainability goals, developing a mutual understanding of responsibilities regarding sustainability performance with suppliers, and conducting joint planning to anticipate and resolve sustainability-related problems with suppliers were all above 5, indicating that these practices are well established.

The total score was 5.3172, which is closer to the maximum value of 7 than the minimum value of 3.6, indicating that overall, sustainable supply chain management practices are relatively well established in the study context. The SD values for all items were relatively high, ranging from 1.037 to 1.365, indicating that there was considerable variability in responses across the sample. The lowest and highest means also imply that there is room for improvement in some areas, such as conducting joint planning to anticipate and resolve sustainability-related problems with suppliers, where the mean was only 5.26. Overall, the results suggest that the study context has established sustainable supply chain management practices to a significant extent, although there is still room for improvement in some areas. The high variability in responses suggests that there may be different levels of adoption and understanding of sustainable supply chain practices across the sample.

#### 4.4.2 Supply Chain Dynamic Capabilities

Supply Chain Dynamic Capabilities, the moderating variable, are operationalised using fourteen (14) items adopted from Li and Liu (2014). Table 4.3 illustrates the descriptive study of Supply Chain Dynamic Capabilities

Table 4.3 Descriptive Statistics for Supply Chain Dynamic Capabilities

Items	Min	Max	Mean	SD
We can perceive environmental change before competitors	3	7	5.59	1.033
We often have meetings to discuss the market demand	3	7	5.45	1.098
We can fully understand the impact of the internal and external environment	2	7	5.49	1.089
We can feel the major potential opportunities and threats	3	7	5.42	1.001
We have a perfect information management system	3	7	5.38	1.133
We can quickly deal with conflicts in the strategic decision-making process	3	7	5.34	1.067
Under many circumstances, we can make a timely decision to deal with strategic problems	3	7	5.33	1.065





IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4 Web: https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/

TOTAL SCORE	3.29	7	5.4168	0.76859
implementation	1	7	5.41	1.075
We can efficiently improve strategic change				
We have a proper awarding and controlling system	3	7	5.34	1.013
implementation	2	7	5.38	1.052
We help each other in strategic change				
Good cooperation exists among different functions	2	7	5.51	1.004
Our strategic changes can be efficiently carried out	2	7	5.33	1.044
We can reconfigure resources in time to address environmental change.	2	7	5.46	0.992
We can remedy quickly to unsatisfactory customers	2	7	5.4	1.144

Source: Field study (2022)

The table presents the descriptive statistics for supply chain dynamic capabilities, including the minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviation (SD) for each item, as well as a total score. The item 'we can perceive environmental change before competitors' had a mean score of 5.59 indicates that this capability is well-established in the study context, with organizations being able to detect environmental changes before their competitors. The item we often have meetings to discuss the market demand had a mean score of 5.45 indicates that this capability is relatively well-established in the study context, with organizations holding regular meetings to discuss market demand.

The item we can fully understand the impact of the internal and external environment had a mean score of 5.49 indicates that this capability is well-established in the study context, with organizations being able to comprehend the impact of internal and external environmental factors. The item we can feel the major potential opportunities and threats: The mean score of 5.42 indicates that this capability is relatively well-established in the study context, with organizations being able to sense major potential opportunities and threats. The item we have a perfect information management system had a mean score of 5.38 indicates that this capability is relatively well-established in the study context, with organizations having a well-functioning information management system.

The item we can quickly deal with conflicts in the strategic decision-making process had a mean score of 5.34 indicates that this capability is relatively well-established in the study context, with organizations being able to resolve conflicts in strategic decision-making in a timely manner. The item under many circumstances, we can make a timely decision to deal with strategic problems had a mean score of 5.33 indicates that this capability is relatively well-established in the study context, with organizations being able to make timely decisions to address strategic problems in most situations.

The item we can remedy quickly to unsatisfactory customers had a mean score of 5.4 indicates that this capability is relatively well-established in the study context, with organizations being able to address unsatisfactory customers promptly. The item we can reconfigure resources in time to address environmental change had mean score of 5.46 indicates that this capability is relatively well-established in the study context, with organizations being able to reconfigure their resources in a timely manner to address environmental changes. The item our strategic changes can be efficiently carried out had a mean score of 5.33 indicates that this capability is relatively well-established in the study context, with organizations being able to implement strategic changes efficiently.

The item good cooperation exists among different functions had a mean score of 5.51 indicates that this capability is well-established in the study context, with organizations having good cooperation among different functions. The item we help each other in strategic change implementation had a mean score of 5.38 indicates that this capability is relatively well-established in the study context, with organizations providing support to each other during strategic change implementation. The item we have a proper awarding and controlling system





IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4 Web: https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/

had a mean score of 5.34 indicates that this capability needs improvement in the study context, with organizations having a less effective system for awarding and controlling. Lastly, the item we can efficiently improve strategic change implementation had a mean score of 5.41 indicates that this capability is relatively well-established in the study context, with organizations being able to improve strategic change implementation efficiently. The overall mean score of 5.4168 indicates that supply chain dynamic capabilities are relatively well-established in the study context. However, the high variability in responses and the lower mean score of the awarding and controlling system capability suggest that there is still room for improvement in some areas to ensure a uniform understanding and adoption of supply chain dynamic capabilities across the sample.

#### 4.4.3 Supply Chain Performance

Supply Chain Performance, the outcome variable, is operationalised using fourteen (14) items adopted from Asamoah et al. (2021). Table 4.4 illustrates a descriptive study of Supply Chain Performance

Table 4.4 Descriptive statistics for Supply Chain Performance

Our firm, with supply chain partners, offers highly reliable products Our firm, with supply chain partners, offers high-quality products to our customers Our firm and supply chain partners have helped	1 2	7	5.67	1 000
Our firm, with supply chain partners, offers high-quality products to our customers Our firm and supply chain partners have helped		7	5.67	1 000
high-quality products to our customers  Our firm and supply chain partners have helped	2			1.033
Our firm and supply chain partners have helped	2			
		7	5.53	1.129
11				
each other to improve product quality	2	7	5.43	1.117
Our firm with supply chain partners increases the rate at which we fulfil customer orders	2	7	5.49	1.099
Our firm with supply chain partners increases				
our inventory turns	2	7	5.43	1.045
Our firm, with supply chain partners, reduces				
the inbound and outbound costs of transport	2	7	5.32	1.084
Our firm, with supply chain partners, reduces				
warehousing and inventory holding costs	2	7	5.51	0.993
Our firm, with supply chain partners, meets on-				
time delivery requirements for all product	2	7	5.27	1.034
Our firm with supply chain partners reached				
agreed costs per unit as compared with the				
industry	2	7	5.37	1.061
Our firm, with supply chain partners, offers a				
variety of products and services efficiently	3	7	5.46	0.958
Our firm, with supply chain partners, offers				
customised products and services with different				
features	1	7	5.27	1.044
Our firm, with supply chain partners, meets				
different customer volume requirements				
efficiently	1	7	5.34	1.118
Our firm with supply chain partners has a short				
customer response time in comparison to the				
industry	2	7	5.29	1.036
Our firm, with supply chain partners, responds		_		
to and accommodates demand variations	4	7	5.42	0.932
OVERALL SCORE	2.86	7	5.4144	0.81275

Source: Field study (2022)

The table presents the descriptive statistics for Supply Chain Performance across various performance measures, ranging from product quality to responsiveness to customer demands. The responses are scored on a scale from 1 to 7, with higher scores indicating better performance.





IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4">https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4</a> Web: <a href="https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/">https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/</a>

The Mean represents the average score, and the SD (Standard Deviation) measures the dispersion of the data from the mean. Highly reliable products: With a mean of 5.67 and an SD of 1.033, this item has the highest mean score among all performance measures, suggesting that the firms and their supply chain partners are performing well in offering highly reliable products. The relatively low SD implies that the responses are closely clustered around the mean, indicating consistency in the performance of the firms. High-quality products: The mean score of 5.53 and an SD of 1.129 show that the firms and their supply chain partners are offering high-quality products to their customers. The slightly higher SD indicates a bit more variability in the responses compared to the first measure.

Improved product quality: With a mean of 5.43 and an SD of 1.117, this measure suggests that firms and supply chain partners have successfully collaborated to improve product quality. However, there is some variability in the extent of improvement across the firms. Fulfilling customer orders: The mean of 5.49 and an SD of 1.099 indicate that firms and their supply chain partners are relatively efficient in fulfilling customer orders. The responses, however, vary to some extent. Increased inventory turns: The mean of 5.43 and an SD of 1.045 suggest that firms with supply chain partners have managed to increase inventory turns, indicating efficient inventory management. The responses are relatively consistent across firms.

Reduced transport costs: With a mean of 5.32 and an SD of 1.084, this measure indicates that firms and their supply chain partners are moderately successful in reducing inbound and outbound transport costs. There is some variability in the extent of cost reduction across the firms. Reduced warehousing and inventory holding costs: The mean score of 5.51 and an SD of 0.993 suggest that firms and their supply chain partners are quite successful in reducing warehousing and inventory holding costs. The responses are relatively consistent in this measure. On-time delivery: The mean score of 5.27 and an SD of 1.034 indicate that firms and their supply chain partners are moderately successful in meeting on-time delivery requirements for all products. However, there is some variability in the performance across firms.

Agreed costs per unit: With a mean of 5.37 and an SD of 1.061, this measure suggests that firms and their supply chain partners have reached agreed costs per unit as compared with the industry. The responses, however, vary to some extent. Variety of products and services: The mean score of 5.46 and an SD of 0.958 indicate that firms and their supply chain partners offer a variety of products and services efficiently. The relatively low SD implies that the responses are closely clustered around the mean. Customised products and services: The mean of 5.27 and an SD of 1.044 suggest that firms and their supply chain partners are moderately successful in offering customised products and services with different features. There is some variability in the performance across firms. Customer volume requirements: With a mean of 5.34 and an SD of 1.118, this measure indicates that firms and their supply chain partners meet different customer volume requirements efficiently. However, there is some variability in the performance across firms.

Short customer response time: With a mean score of 5.29 and an SD of 1.036, this measure suggests that firms and their supply chain partners have a relatively short customer response time compared to the industry. However, there is some variability in the performance across firms, as indicated by the SD. Responsiveness to demand variations: The mean score of 5.42 and an SD of 0.932 indicate that firms and their supply chain partners are successful in responding to and accommodating demand variations. The relatively low SD implies that the responses are closely clustered around the mean, suggesting consistency in the performance of the firms.

With an overall mean score of 5.4144 and an SD of 0.81275, the results suggest that the firms and their supply chain partners are performing relatively well in the various performance measures. The relatively low SD indicates consistency in the overall performance across firms. The lowest mean score (5.27) is found in the on-time delivery and customised products and services measures, suggesting that there is room for improvement in these areas. The highest mean score (5.67) is observed in offering highly reliable products, indicating that this is an area of strength for the firms and their supply chain partners.

#### 4.5 Test of Validity and Reliability

This section examines the validity and reliability of the data gathered. The internal consistency of the data was determined using Cronbach's alpha coefficient, and the validity of





IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4 Web: https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/

the data was determined using exploratory factor analysis (EFA). A Cronbach's alpha value of 0.70 or above is deemed acceptable on the Alpha scale. According to the exploratory factor analysis results, each item will have a loading coefficient greater than 0.50 on the constructs intended to evaluate. Based on the EFA, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) test is anticipated to provide a score of more than 0.50, indicating that the sample size is suitable for the research.

Table 4.5 Reliability Test - Alpha Cronbach

Construct	Number of items	Alpha Cronbach
Sustainable Supply Chain management	15	0.913
Supply Chain Dynamic Capabilities	14	0.931
Supply Chain Performance	14	0.948

Source: Field study (2022)

Table 4.5 shows that Sustainable Supply Chain Management (the independent variable) has a Cronbach Alpha score of 0.913, Supply Chain Dynamic Capabilities (the moderator) has a Cronbach Alpha score of 0.931, and Supply Chain Performance (the dependent variable) has a Cronbach Alpha score of 0.948, as determined by Cronbach's Alpha test. The results show that the data gathered on the three study variables had strong internal consistency, indicating that the information obtained was accurate and reliable.

Table 4.6 Validity Test - Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)

	Variables			
Measures	SSCM	SCDC	SCP	
When designing products, we pay attention to reduced consumption of material/energy	0.753			
When designing products, we pay attention to reuse, recycling, and/or recovery of material	0.7			
We design our products to use environmentally friendly materials	0.795			
We design our products with standardised components to facilitate reuse	0.698			
We use life cycle analysis to evaluate the environmental impacts of our products	0.855			
The design of our processes is heavily dependent on sustainability goals	0.755			
We evaluate our existing processes to reduce their impact on the environment	0.565			
We have a formal design for environment guidelines for process design	0.753			
We constantly reengineer our processes to reduce their environmental impact	0.794			
We improve the environmental friendliness of our production	0.749			
We cooperate with our suppliers to achieve sustainability objectives	0.776			
We provide our suppliers with sustainability requirements for their processes	0.715			
We collaborate with our suppliers to provide products and/or services that support our sustainability goals	0.719			
We develop a mutual understanding of responsibilities regarding sustainability performance with our suppliers	0.718			





IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4 Web: https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/

ournal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807	wcb. <u>inteps.//dam</u>	aacauciiia.coii	
We conduct joint planning to anticipate and resolve			
sustainability-related problems with our suppliers	0.74		
We can perceive environmental change before			
competitors		0.751	
We often have meetings to discuss the market			
demand		0.644	
We can fully understand the impact of the internal			
and external environment		0.759	
We can feel the major potential opportunities and			
threats		0.706	
We have a perfect information management system		0.662	
We can quickly deal with conflicts in the strategic			
decision-making process		0.749	
Under many circumstances, we can make a timely		211 12	
decision to deal with strategic problems		0.668	
We can remedy quickly to unsatisfactory customers		0.674	
We can reconfigure resources in time to address		0.074	
environmental change.		0.707	
		0.707	
Our strategic changes can be efficiently carried out			
Good cooperation exists among different functions		0.763	
We help each other in strategic change			
implementation		0.852	
We have a proper awarding and controlling system		0.671	
We can efficiently improve strategic change			
implementation		0.667	
Our firm, with supply chain partners, offers highly	1333333		
reliable products	1/////		0.796
Our firm, with supply chain partners, offers high-			
quality products to our customers			0.661
Our firm and supply chain partners have helped			
each other to improve product quality			0.82
Our firm with supply chain partners increases the			
rate at which we fulfil customer orders	DURNAL OF		0.74
Our firm with supply chain partners increases our	CINICI		<b>.</b>
inventory turns			0.769
Our firm, with supply chain partners, reduces			0.705
inbound and outbound costs of transport			0.751
-			0.731
Our firm, with supply chain partners, reduces			0.683
warehousing and inventory holding costs			0.063
Our firm, with supply chain partners, meets on-time			0.675
delivery requirements for all product			0.675
Our firm with supply chain partners reached agreed			0.704
costs per unit as compared with the industry			0.704
Our firm, with supply chain partners, offers a			0.50
variety of products and services efficiently			0.763
Our firm, with supply chain partners, offers			
customised products and services with different			
features			0.805
Our firm, with supply chain partners, meets			
different customer volume requirements efficiently			0.774
Our firm with supply chain partners has a short			
customer response time in comparison to the			
industry			0.507
Our firm, with supply chain partners, responds to			
and accommodates demand variations			0.749





IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4">https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4</a> Web: <a href="https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/">https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/</a>

Source: Field study (2022) Notes: Sustainable Supply chain management (SSCM); Supply chain dynamic capability (SCDC); Supply chain performance (SCP)

Detailed information on the exploratory factor analysis used to assess the validity of the data obtained is provided in Table 4.6. All fifteen (15) items developed to assess Sustainable Supply chain management, fourteen (14) items developed to assess Supply chain dynamic capability, and fourteen (14) items developed to assess Supply chain performance all scored higher than the 0.50 criterion, demonstrating that all items measured the constructs for which they were developed and, as a result, were valid.

Table 4.7 KMO and Bartlett's Test

In research, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) test is used to assess the sampling appropriateness of data used for Factor Analysis.

KMO and Bartlett's Test						
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy897						
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	3174.944					
	df	903				
	Sig.	.000				

Source: Field study (2022)

Table 4.7 shows that the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy (KMO) was .897 and that Bartlett's Test of Sphericity was approximately 3174.944; Df 903; p < 0.01. According to Kaiser (2016), KMO levels between 0.8 and 1 are acceptable. Therefore, the KMO = 0.897 suggests that the sample size of ninety-one (91) respondents was suitable for the study.

#### 4.6 Inferential Statistics

This section investigates the relationship between Sustainable Supply Chain Management, Supply Chain Dynamic Capabilities and Supply Chain Performance. Ordinary Least Regression and Moderated Hierarchical Regression are used to test the study's hypotheses. Correlation analysis is used to test the relationships between the constructs of the study.

#### 4.6.1 Correlation Analysis

Correlation analysis determines the degree to which two or more variables are connected. The correlation coefficient is calculated using correlation analysis, which shows how much one variable changes when the other changes.

*Table 4.8 Correlation amongst the Variables* 

Variables	SSCM	SCDC	SCP	Mean	SD	Skewness
Sustainable Supply Chain management	1			5.3172	0.78154	0.426
Supply Chain Dynamic Capabilities	.646**.	1		5.4168	0.76859	0.378
Supply Chain Performance	.665**	.908**	1	5.4144	0.81275	-0.124

**Source: Field study (2022) Notes**: Sustainable Supply chain management (SSCM); Supply chain dynamic capability (SCDC); Supply chain performance (SCP)

Sustainable Supply Chain Management has a strong positive link (0.646) with the Supply Chain Dynamic Capabilities, according to the correlation results in Table 4.8, and this relationship is statistically significant at 0.01. Sustainable Supply Chain Management and Supply Chain Performance have a positive (0.665) and statistically significant (0.01) relationship. Finally, Supply Chain Dynamic Capabilities are statistically significant at 0.01 and highly correlate with Supply Chain Performance (0.908). As a result, all variables have a positive and



IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4">https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4</a> Web: <a href="https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/">https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/</a>

statistically significant association, showing that as one variable increases, the other variables increase.

#### 4.6.2 Regression Analysis

This section covers the testing of the study's hypotheses in detail. Regression analysis is a powerful statistical method that allows you to examine the relationship between two or more variables of interest

#### 4.6.2.1 Sustainable supply chain management and supply chain performance

H1 for the study assesses the effect of Sustainable supply chain management on supply chain performance. The Tables below provide the results of the regression for H1

*Table 4.9 Model Summary* 

Model St	ummary		•	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.665ª	.442	.436	.61057
a. Predic	tors: (Constar	nt), Sustainable su	apply chain managemen	it

Source: Field study (2022)

As per Table 4.9, an  $R^2$  of 0.442 indicates that 44.2% of the variation in supply chain performance is accounted for by Sustainable supply chain management. Also, an adjusted  $R^2$  of .436 indicates that Sustainable supply chain management accounts for an additional 49.3% of the variation in supply chain performance.

Table 4.10 ANOVA

.000b							
Total 59.451 90  a. Dependent Variable: Supply chain Performance  b. Predictors: (Constant), Sustainable supply chain management							

Source: Field study (2022)

Table 4.10 shows that Sustainable supply chain management could accurately explain the variation in Supply chain Performance considering p < 0.01. In other words, Table 4.10 highlights that the variations in Supply chain Performance are a direct result of Sustainable supply chain management

Table 4.11 Coefficient of Variation

Coefficients <sup>a</sup>								
Model		Unstandardised Coefficients		Standardise d Coefficients	t	Sig.		
		В	Std. Error	Beta				
1	(Constant)	1.739	.443		3.929	.000		





IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807

Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4">https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4</a> Web: https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/

	Sustainable supply chain	.691	.082	.665	8.395	.000		
	management							
a. Dependent Variable: Supply chain Performance								

Source: Field study (2022)

Table 4.11 shows a 0.691 increase in Supply chain Performance for every Sustainable supply chain management unit. This is a result of the path coefficient:  $\beta$  =.691, t = 8.395, p < .01. Therefore, there is significant support for H1, which states a positive and significant effect of Sustainable supply chain management on Supply chain Performance.

#### 4.6.2.2 Supply chain dynamic capability Supply Chain Performance

H2 for the study assesses the effect of Supply chain dynamic capability on Supply Chain Performance. The Tables below provide the results of the regression for H2

Table 4.12 Model Summaru

	10000 1112 1120000 2011011000 9								
Model Summary									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the					
				Estimate					
1	.908a	.824	.822	.34266					
a. Predictors: (Constant), Supply chain dynamic capability									

Source: Field study (2022)

As per Table 4.12, an R<sup>2</sup> of 0.824 indicates that the Supply chain dynamic capability accounts for 82.4% of the variation in supply chain performance. Also, an adjusted R2 of .822 indicates that the Supply chain dynamic capability accounts for an additional 82.2% of the variation in supply chain performance.

Table 4.13 ANOVA

Model		Sum	of	df	Mean	F	Sig.
		Squares			Square	74 (2.1)	
1	Regression	49.001		1	49.001	417.318	.000b
	Residual	10.450		89	.117		
	Total	59.451		90			

a. Dependent Variable: Supply chain Performance

b. Predictors: (Constant), Supply chain dynamic capability

Source: Field study (2022)

Table 4.13 shows that the Supply chain dynamic capability could accurately explain the variation in Supply chain Performance considering p < 0.01. In other words, Table 4.13 highlights that the variations in Supply chain Performance are a direct result of the Supply chain dynamic capability

Table 4.14 Coefficient of Variation

Coefficientsa			<u> </u>		
Model	Coefficients		Standardise d Coefficients	t	Sig.
	В	Std. Error	Beta		





IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4 Web: https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/

1	(Constant)	.214	.257		.833	.407	
	Supply chain dynamic capability	.960	.047	.908	20.428	.000	
a. Dependent Variable: Supply chain Performance							

Source: Field study (2022)

Table 4.14 shows a 0.960 increase in Supply chain Performance for every unit of the Supply chain dynamic capability. This is a result of the path coefficient:  $\beta$  =.960, t = 20.428, p < .01. Therefore, there is significant support for H2, which states a positive and significant effect of Supply Chain dynamic capability on Supply chain Performance.

#### 4.6.2.3 The Moderating role of Supply chain dynamic capability

H3 for the study assesses the moderating role of the Supply chain dynamic capability on the relationship between sustainable supply chain management and supply chain performance. The Tables below provide the results of the regression for H3

Table 4.15 Model Summary

Model Summary								
R	R Square	MSE	F	df1	df2	P		
0.9166	.8402	.1092	152.4967	3.0000	87.0000	.0000		
a. Predictors: (Constant), Sustainable Supply Chain Management and Supply chain dynamic								

Source: Field study (2022)

As per Table 4.15, an R<sup>2</sup> of 0.8402 indicates that the interaction between Sustainable Supply Chain management and Supply chain dynamic capability accounts for 84% of the variation in supply chain performance.

Table 4.16 Model 1

Model 1							
	coeff	se	t	р	LLCI	ULCI	
Constant	-2.976	1.6734	-1.7192	.0891	-6.2029	.4492	
Sustainable Supply Chain	.6988	.3297	2.1194	.0369	.0435	1.3542	
Supply chain dynamic	1.3396	.2801	4.7825	.0000	.7829	1.8964	
Interaction	0919	.0522	-1.7223	.0886	1979	.0141	

Source: Field study (2022)

According to Table 4.16, for every unit of interaction between Sustainable Supply Chain Management and Supply chain dynamic capability, there is a 0.0919 decrease in supply chain performance. This is a result of the path coefficient:  $\beta$  =-.0919, t = -1.7223, p > .01. There is no support for H3 which states a positive moderation effect of supply chain dynamic capability on the effect of sustainable supply chain management on supply chain performance.

#### 4.6.3 Hypotheses Table

capability

This section summarises the result from the regression analyses used to test the study's hypotheses.



IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4 Web: https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/

Table 4.17 Hypotheses Table

Hypothesis	Path Analysis	Expected effect	Results	T-Values	Conclusion
H1	SSCM → SCP	Positive	.691 (p < 0.01)	8.395	Supported
H2	SCDC → SCP	Positive	.960 (p < 0.01)	20.428	Supported
нз	SSCM × SCDC →SCP	Positive	0919 (p > .05)	-1.7223	Not Supported

Source: Field study (2022) Notes: Sustainable Supply chain management (SSCM); Supply chain dynamic capability (SCDC); Supply chain performance (SCP)

#### 4.7 Discussion of Results

The regression analysis results are further discussed regarding the stated hypotheses in this section.

#### 4.7.1 Sustainable supply chain management and supply chain performance

Regression results for H1 revealed an increase in Supply chain Performance for every Sustainable supply chain management unit. The result is consistent with the reviewed literature. The RBV theory stresses that firms can leverage their resources to develop unique organisational capabilities that their competitors cannot copy (Barney, 2020). The literature on RBV suggests that capabilities can be built through complex interactions between the firm's resources (Barney, 2020). Such resources can be the building blocks for achieving higher supply chain performance. Sustainable supply chain management as a resource can be leveraged and deployed uniquely to enhance supply chain performance.

The RBV in this study is proposed to describe how the different dimensions of sustainable procurement as core and unique organisational competency enhance supply chain performance. Accordingly, this study argues for a positive relationship between sustainable procurement and supply chain performance. The rationale is that strong evidence shows that sustainable supply chain management enhances performance. Studies such as (Dubey et al., 2017; Matthews et al., 2016; Morioka & de Carvalho, 2016; Saberi et al., 2019) have revealed a positive relationship between sustainable supply chain management and outcome variables such as supply chain performance, financial performance, competitive advantage and firm performance. In contrast, studies such as (Genovese et al., 2017; Khan et al., 2021) revealed a negative relationship, whiles studies such as (Mathivathanan et al., 2018) have revealed no relationship. Yet still (Ghadge *et al.*, 2019; Islam *et al.*, 2017; Ruparathna and Hewage, 2015b) have revealed an indirect positive effect of sustainable supply chain management on firm performance

#### 4.7.2 Supply chain dynamic capability Supply Chain Performance

Regression results for H2 also revealed an increase in Supply chain Performance for every unit of the Supply chain dynamic capability. This is consistent with the reviewed literature. The Resource-based view explains that some organisational resources could be tangible or intangible (dynamic capability). However, such company resources must be unique so firms can utilise them efficiently to achieve growth and development. Also, differences in supply chain performance are attributed to differences in resources and capabilities (supply chain dynamic capability). This study proposes dynamic capability as an important intangible resource enhancing a firm's supply chain performance. Strong evidence shows a close relationship between supply chain dynamic capability and different performance outcomes (Kaur and Mehta, 2017; Li and Liu, 2014b; Schoemaker *et al.*, 2018).

Some studies have shown that dynamic capabilities are required to adapt to the changes in the environment (Teece, 2018b). Others have noticed that dynamic capabilities increase a firm's ability to be flexible, responsive and agile, which is required for managing supply chain disruptions (Singh and del Giudice, 2019). Supply chain dynamic capability enables firms to adapt rapidly to changing environments, thereby reducing their risk and exposure due to



IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4">https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4</a> Web: <a href="https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/">https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/</a>

volatility (Olufemi *et al.*, 2014). In a nutshell, a firm's dynamic capability provides the firm with the ability to respond flexibly to the volatility within the supply chain, thereby reducing disruptions and vulnerability to risks

#### 4.7.3 The Moderating role of Supply chain dynamic capability

Regression results for H3 also revealed that for every unit of interaction between Sustainable Supply Chain Management and Supply chain dynamic capability, there is a decrease in supply chain performance. This is inconsistent with the literature reviewed. The RBV theory also stipulates that some organisational resources, such as the supply chain dynamic capability, could be intangible. Therefore, this study further contends that sustainable supply chain management's direct effects on supply chain performance depend on varying supply chain dynamic capability levels. Although prior studies (e.g., Narimissa et al., 2020a, 2020b; Sajjad et al., 2015) have demonstrated that sustainable supply chain management could drive performance outcomes, there has been inconsistency in the literature.

Other studies report that it positively impacts performance indirectly (e.g., Koberg and Longoni, 2019; Saeed and Kersten, 2019). Still, other studies find that the relationship between these concepts is either negative (e.g., Busse et al., 2017; Matthews et al., 2016) or non-significant (e.g., Mathivathanan et al., 2018; Touboulic and Walker, 2015). Accordingly, this study posits that the relationship between sustainable supply chain management and supply chain performance cannot be completely appreciated only through direct associations.

The study asserts that when supply chain dynamic capability is the effect of sustainable supply chain management on supply chain performance should be much stronger. Conversely, when supply chain dynamic capability is low, the effect of sustainable supply chain management on supply chain performance is likely to be much lower. The rationale is that today's environment is volatile, uncertain, complex, ambiguous and turbulent. Therefore, for effective management of sustainability throughout the supply chain, a firm needs its dynamic capability to stay in tune with environmental changes

#### **5.0 CONCLUSIONS**

#### 5.1 Introduction

This chapter presents the summary of findings, conclusions and suggestions for future studies.

#### 5.2 Summary of Findings

The key findings from the study are summarised in this section

#### 5.2.1 Sustainable supply chain management and supply chain performance

The study's first objective was to examine the effect of Sustainable supply chain management on supply chain performance. The study revealed that Sustainable supply chain management has a strong positive effect on supply chain performance.

#### 5.2.2 Supply Chain dynamic capability and supply chain performance

The study's second objective was to examine the effect of Supply Chain dynamic capability and supply chain performance. The study revealed that Supply Chain dynamic capability has a strong positive effect on supply chain performance.

#### 5.2.3 Moderation role of Supply Chain dynamic capability

The study's third objective was to examine the moderating role of Supply Chain dynamic capability in the relationship between Sustainable supply chain management and supply chain performance. The study that revealed Supply Chain dynamic capability does not moderate the relationship between Sustainable supply chain management and supply chain performance.

#### 5.3 Conclusion

Based on data obtained from ninety-one manufacturing firms operating within the regional capital, the study makes the following conclusion. First, key dimensions of sustainable supply chain management practices such as Sustainable product design, Sustainable process design, and Supply-side sustainability collaboration enhances supply chain performance such





IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4">https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4</a> Web: <a href="https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/">https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/</a>

as reliability, efficiency and flexibility. Secondly, key dimensions of supply chain dynamic capabilities enhance supply chain performance, such as reliability, efficiency and flexibility. Lastly, supply chain dynamic capabilities enhance supply chain performance such as reliability, efficiency, and flexibility and do not act as a catalyst for sustainable supply chains management practices such as Sustainable product design, Sustainable process design and Supply-side sustainability collaboration

#### 5.4 Recommendations

This section provides the researcher's recommendations and suggestions for future studies

#### 5.4.1 Recommendations for Managers and Policy Makers

The study finds that sustainable supply chain management positively affects supply chain performance. Based on this, the researcher recommends that managers incorporate sustainability throughout their supply chain by embedding sustainability into supplier selection, contracting, pricing arrangements and specification. These practices would further enhance sustainability across the supply chain and enhance supply chain performance.

Secondly, the study revealed a positive impact of supply chain dynamic capability on supply chain performance. Based on this, the researcher recommends that supply chain managers enhance their dynamic capabilities through continuous monitoring and scanning of the external environment and adopting and implementing industry 4.0 technologies. These would increase the firm's responsiveness and flexibility to adapt to environmental changes.

Lastly, the study revealed a negative moderation effect of supply chain dynamic capability on the relationship between sustainable supply chain management and supply chain performance. Based on this, the study recommends that supply chain managers conduct feasibility analysis and due diligence before implementing sustainable supply chain management to ascertain how dynamic capability could be used as a catalyst for the sustainable supply chain management.

#### 5.4.2 Suggestions for Future Research

The study assessed the moderation role of supply chain dynamic capability on the relationship between sustainable supply chain management and supply chain performance. Supply chain performance has been well-researched. Therefore, to add to the existing literature on sustainable supply chain management, future studies are encouraged to consider researching the effect of absorptive capacity and supply chain resilience on the effect of sustainable supply chain management on sustainability performance

#### REFERENCES

- Abel, M.-H. (2020): "Competencies management and learning organizational memory," Journal of Knowledge Management, 12(6), pp. 15–30.
- Abrahamsson, P., Conboy, K., and Wang, X. (2019): "Lots done, more to do': the current state of agile systems development research," European Journal of Information Systems, 18, pp. 281–284.
- Accenture (2020), "Technology Vision 2017 Technology for People," Accenture Research.
- Ofori, I., Bluwey, E., Ackah, D., (2024), "Total Quality Management Practices, Organisational Culture and Firm Performance; Service or Product Innovation", African Journal of Procurement, Logistics & Supply Chain Management Society 2024, 7(9): pp.165-205. DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajplscm.v7i9.5">https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajplscm.v7i9.5</a>
- Ackah, D., (2014). "The Impact of Motivation on Employee Performance in the Manufacturing Industry", Global Journal of Management Studies and Researches (GJMSR), Volume 1, Number 5, pp. 291-310, 2014,
- Ofori, I., Ackah, D., (2024), "The Role Organizational Culture as a Moderating Factor in the Relationship Between E-Procurement Adoption, Procurement", African Journal of



IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4">https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4</a> Web: <a href="https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/">https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/</a>

Procurement, Logistics & Supply Chain Management Society 2024, 7(9): pp.01-32. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajplscm.v7i9.1

- Attafuah, E. D. & Ackah, D., (2022) "The Impact of Financial Engineering on Building Project Finance Among Commercial Banks in Ghana" Finance & Management Engineering Journal of Africa, Volume 4, Issue 10, pp. 01-44
- Ackah, D., Dadzie. B., E., Yornu, K. I., (2025), "The Role of Corporate Governance in Strengthening Competitive Advantage through Strategic Project Procurement", Dama Academic Scholarly & Scientific Research Society 2025, 10(01): pp.58-81, DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/dasjr.v10i1.3
- Ackah, D., Ofori., I., (2025), "The Influence of Green Logistics and Food Distribution Strategy on Post-Harvest Loss Reduction", African Journal of Procurement, Logistics & Supply Chain Management Society 2025, 7(9): pp.01-17, DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajplscm.v8i1.1">https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajplscm.v8i1.1</a>
- Ackah, D., Addo, K. S., K., Yornu, K. I., (2025), "Analysing the Connection Between Motivation, Compensation, and Employee Job Satisfaction", Dama Academic Scholarly & Scientific Research Society 2025, 10(01): pp.01-31, DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/dasjr.v10i1.1
- Ackah, D., Addo, K. S., K., Yornu, K. I., (2025), "Analyzing the Impact of Green Procurement on Inexpensive Advantage and Business Performance", Dama Academic Scholarly & Scientific Research Society 2025, 10(01): pp.32-57, DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/dasjr.v10i1.2
- Ackah, D., Ofori, I., Amponsah., R., (2025), "Resource Capabilities as a Moderator: Impact of Procurement Practices on Project Performance and Competitive Advantage", Project Management Scientific Journal, 2024, 8(9): pp.158-188. DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/pmsj.v8i1.6">https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/pmsj.v8i1.6</a>
- Ackah, D., (2020). "The Impact of the World COVID-19 Pandemic on Project Financing" Project Management Scientific Journal, Volume 4, Issue 4, pp.01-13
- Ofori., I., Ackah., D., Owusu-Asantewaah., P., (2025), "Supply Chain Collaboration, Organizational Resources and Firms' Performance", African Journal of Procurement, Logistics & Supply Chain Management Society 2025, 8(01): pp.41-58, DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajplscm.v8i1.3
- Ackah, D., & Boadu, O. K. (2025). Entrepreneurship as a Catalyst for Innovation and Economic Growth in Ghana", Dama Academic Scholarly & Scientific Research Society 2024, 10(04): pp.28 47, DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/dasjr.v10i4.2">https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/dasjr.v10i4.2</a>
- Mensah-Amewuda., B., Mensah., O., Agyemang., I., Ackah., D., (2025), "Disposal of Unused Medicines in a Rural Ghanaian Household and its Effect on the Environment", Dama Academic Scholarly & Scientific Research Society 2024, 10(02): pp.40-51, DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/dasjr.v10i2.5">https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/dasjr.v10i2.5</a>
- Attafuah, E. D. & Ackah, D., (2020) "The repercussions facing the Ghana Stock Exchange (GSE)" Finance & Management Engineering Journal of Africa, Volume 2, Issue 11, pp.11-14
- Ackah, D., Addo, K. S., K., Yornu, K. I., (2024), "Effect of Green Procurement Practices on Financial Performance", African Journal of Procurement, Logistics & Supply Chain Management, 2024, 7(8): pp.13-40. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajplscm.v7i8.2





IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807

- Owusu K., Issah Ofori., I., Ackah., D., (2025), "Behavioral Competencies, Supply Chain Resilience and Firm Performance: The Moderating Effect of Internal Integration", African Journal of Procurement, Logistics & Supply Chain Management Society 2025, 8(01): pp.18-40, DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajplscm.v8i1.2">https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajplscm.v8i1.2</a>
- Ackah, D., Agboyi, M., R., & Adu-Gyamfi, L., (2014). "Competitive tendering, an effective tool in ensuring value for money in the public sector," Global Journal of Management Studies and Researches (GJMSR), Volume1, Number 4, pp. 108 185
- Ackah, D., Dadzie, B., E., (2025), "The Influence of Procurement Practices on Project Outcomes and Competitive Edge", Dama Academic Scholarly & Scientific Research Society 2024, 10(01): pp.82-111, DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/dasjr.v10i1.4
- Ackah, D., Kondegri-Pangka, M., & Agboyi, A., R., (2015). "The Role and Impact of Rural Banking on SME's (Small Medium Enterprise)", Global Journal of Management Studies and Researches (GJMSR), Volume 1, Number 5, pp. 311 323
- Ackah, D., Amponsah, R., (2024), "Evaluating the Project Management Maturity in the Construction Industry of Developing Countries, Ghana in Perspective", Project Management Scientific Journal, 2024, 7(9): pp.01-15. DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/pmsj.v7i9.1">https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/pmsj.v7i9.1</a>
- Ackah, D., Adu-Gyamfi, L., & Agboyi, M., R., (2015). "The Impact of Trade Liberalisation on Import Composition," Global Journal of Management Studies and Researches (GJMSR), Volume 1, Number 5, pp. 273 290
- Ackah, D., Addo, K. S., K., Yornu, K. I., (2024), "Enforcing Ethical Codes of Conduct in Procurement and Its Impact on Public Procurement Performance in Ghana", African Journal of Procurement, Logistics & Supply Chain Management 2024, 7(8): pp.72-92. DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajplscm.v7i8.5">https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajplscm.v7i8.5</a>
- Acquah, P., Ofori, I., & Ackah, D., (2022) "Analyzing Stakeholder Challenges in Public Sector Project Implementation" Project Management Scientific Journal, Volume 6, Issue 1, pp. 01-15
- Ackah, D., Addo, K. S., K., Yornu, K. I., (2024), "Information Sharing's Impact on Supply Chain Management, Logistics, and Organizational Performance", Project Management Scientific Journal, 2024, 7(9): pp.16-33. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/pmsj.v7i9.2
- Ackah, D., Addo, K. S., K., Yornu, K. I., (2024), "Senior Management's Influence on Supplier Selection and Procurement Performance", African Journal of Procurement, Logistics & Supply Chain Management, 2024, 7(8): pp. 93-113. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajplscm.v7i8.6
- Ofori, I., & Ackah, D., (2021) "The Influence of Green Logistics and Food Distribution Strategy on Post-Harvest Loss Reduction" African Journal of Procurement, Logistics & Supply Chain Management, Volume 4, Issue 12, pp.33-48
- Ofori, I., Ackah, D., Dadzie. B., E., (2024), "Digitalization and Operational Performance of the Audit Service of Ghana", Project Management Scientific Journal, 2024, 7(9): pp.93-116. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/pmsj.v7i9.6

IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4 Web: https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/

- Ackah, D., Agboyi, M., R., & Adu-Gyamfi, L., (2014). "An Investigation of Yam Ingestion Customs in Ghanaian Urban Communities," International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research (IJSBAR), Volume 17, Number 2, pp. 374 411
- Ackah, D., Dadzie. B., E., Yornu, K. I., (2024), "The Influence of Corporate Governance on Strategic Procurement and Competitive Advantage", African Journal of Procurement, Logistics & Supply Chain Management, 2024, 7(8): pp.13-40. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajplscm.v7i8.7
- Ackah, D., Ofori, I., Dadzie. B., E., (2025), "Examining the Role of Technological Innovation, and the Impact of Green Procurement on Competitive Advantage and Company Performance", Project Management Scientific Journal, 2024, 8(9): pp.107-134. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/pmsj.v8i1.4
- Aiken, P., Gillenson, M., Zhang, X., and Rafner, D. (2021): "Data Management and Data Administration: Assessing 25 Years of Practice," Journal of Database Management, 22(3), pp. 24–44, July–September.
- van Aken, J. E. and Romme, G. (2019): "Reinventing the future: Adding design science to the repertoire of organization and management studies," Organization Management Journal, 6(1), pp. 2–12.
- Alavi, M. and Leidner, D. E. (2021): "Knowledge Management and Knowledge Management Systems: Conceptual Foundations and Research Issues," MIS Quarterly, (25: 1), pp. 107–136.
- Allal-Chérif, O., Bidan, M., and Makhlouf, M. (2021): "Using serious games to manage knowledge and competencies: The seven-step development process," Information Systems Frontiers, 18(6), pp. 1153–1163.
- Alsleben, M. (2022): "Creating Dynamic Capabilities: R&D Network Management for Globally Distributed Research and Development in the Software Industry," CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.
- Alvesson, M. (2019): "Knowledge Work and Knowledge-intensive Firms," OUP, Oxford.
- Alvesson, M. (2021): "Management of knowledge-intensive companies," (Vol. 61), Walter de Gruyter.
- Appelo, J. (2021): "Management 3.0: leading Agile developers, developing Agile leaders," Pearson Education.
- Baert, P. (2020): "Philosophy of the social sciences: Towards pragmatism," Cambridge, UK; Malden, MA: SAGE.
- Baladi, P. (2019): "Knowledge and Competence Management: Ericsson Business Consulting," Business Strategy Review (10:4), pp. 20–28.
- Benbasat and Zmud (2019): "Empirical research in information systems: The practice of relevance," MIS Quarterly, 23(1), pp. 3–16.
- Benbasat, I., Goldstein, D. K. and Mead, M. (2021): "The Case Research Strategy in Studies of Information Systems," *MIS Quarterly*, 11(3), pp. 369–386.
- Berlin, I. (2029): "The Hedgehog and the Fox," Orion Books, London.
- Blank, S. (2013): "Why the lean start-up changes everything," Harvard Business Review, 91(5), pp. 63–72.
- Borek, A., Parlikad, A., Webb, J., and Woodall, P. (2019): "Total Information Risk Management: Maximizing the Value of Data and Information Assets," 1st edition, Morgan Kaufmann.
- Bououd, I., Skandrani, S. R., Boughzala, I., and Makhlouf, M. (2016): "Impact of object manipulation, customization and social loafing on competencies management in 3D Virtual Worlds," Information Systems Frontiers, 18(6), pp. 1191–1203.
- Bresnen, M., Goussevskaia, A., and Swan, J. (2021): "Embedding new management knowledge in project-based organizations," Organization Studies, 25(9), pp. 1535–1555.
- Brown, C. and Vessey, I. (2020): "Managing the next wave of enterprise systems: Leveraging lessons from ERP," MIS Quarterly Executive, 2 (1), pp. 65–77.
- Brynjolfsson, E. and McAfee, A. (2019): "The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies," W. W. Norton & Company.





IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807

- Brynjolfsson, E. and McAfee, A. (2019): "Race Against the Machine," Digital Frontier, Lexington, MA.
- Brynjolfsson, E. (2021): "Strength in Numbers: How does data-driven decision-making affect firm performance?" Completed Research Paper in the Thirty Second International Conference on Information Systems, Shanghai, 2011.
- Carr, N., G. (2023): "IT doesn't matter," Harvard Business Review, (81:5), pp. 41-49.
- Castells, M. (2020): "The Rise of the Network Society," 2nd ed., Wiley-Blackwell.
- CEN European Committee for Standardization. (2020): "European e-Competence Framework 2.0 A common European framework for ICT Professionals in all industry sectors," European Commission, Brussels, Belgium.
- Chae, B. K., Bruce Prince, J., Katz, J., and Kabst, R. (2021): "An exploratory cross-national study of information sharing and human resource information systems," Journal of Global Information Management, 19(4), pp. 18–44.
- Chang, H. H., Tsai, Y. C., Fu, C. S., Chen, S. H., and De Peng, Y. (2019): "Exploring the antecedents and consequences of technology and knowledge integration mechanisms in the context of NPD," Information Systems Frontiers, 18(6), pp. 11651189.
- Charan, R. (2019): "It's time to split HR," Harvard Business Review, 92(7), pp. 33-34.
- Charband, Y., and Navimipour, N. J. (2016): "Online knowledge sharing mechanisms: a systematic review of the state of the art literature and recommendations for future research," Information Systems Frontiers, 18(6), pp. 1131–1151.
- Collins, C. (2021): "Level 5 Leadership: The triumph of humility and fierce resolve," Harvard Business Review, (January), pp. 65–76.
- Conboy, K. (2019): "Agility from first principles: Reconstructing the concept of agility in information systems development," Information Systems Research, 20(3), pp. 329–354.
- Corallo, A., Lazoi, M., and Margherita, A. (2020): "Optimizing competence management processes: A case study in the aerospace industry," Business Process Management Journal, 16(2), pp. 297–314.
- Creswell, J. W. (2019): "Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches," 2nd ed., SAGE, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Davenport, T. H. (2020): "Putting the enterprise into the enterprise system," Harvard Business Review, (July/August), pp. 121–31.
- Davenport and Markus (2019): "Rigor vs. Relevance revisited: Response to Benbasat and Zmud," MIS Quarterly, 23(1), pp. 19–23.
- Davenport, T. (2017): "Competing on Analytics: The New Science of Winning," Harvard Business School Press, Boston, MA.
- Davies, A. and Hobday, M. (2020): "The Business Projects, Managing Innovation in Complex Products and Systems," Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, U.S.A.
- Davenport, T. H., Jarvenpaa, S. L., and Beers, M. C. (2020): "Improving knowledge work processes," Sloan Management Review, 37(4), p. 53.
- Deloitte (2017): "Global Human Capital Trends 2017: Rewriting the Rules for the Digital Age," Deloitte Consulting LLP, Deloitte University Press.
- Deloitte (2019): "Global Human Capital Trends 2016: The New Organization: Different by Design," Deloitte Consulting LLP, Deloitte University Press.
- Dingsøyr, T., Nerur, S., Balijepally, V., and Moe, N. B. (2019): "A decade of agile methodologies: Towards explaining agile software development," Journal of Systems and Software, 85(6).
- Drucker, P. (2019): "Knowledge-worker productivity: The biggest challenge," California Management Review, 41(2), pp. 79–94.
- Drucker, P. (2023): "Post-Capitalist Society", Harper Collins, New York, NY.
- Drucker, P. (1969): "The Age of Discontinuity: Guidelines to Our Changing Society", Harper & Row, New York, NY.
- Durkheim (2011): "Pragmatism and the question of truth," Philosophy Archive, accessed October 7, 2011, marxists.org. Original work published in 1914.
- Dzbor, M, Paralic, J. and Paralic, M. (2000): "Knowledge Management in a distributed organization," Kmi-TR-94 technical report, Knowledge Media Institute, Open University.
- EIU (2011): "Big Data: Harnessing a Game-changing Asset," The Economist Intelligence Unit Limited, London (UK).
  - EIU (2008): "The Future of Enterprise Information Governance," The



IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4">https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4</a> Web: <a href="https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/">https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/</a>

EconomistIntelligence Unit Limited, London (UK).

- EU GDPR (2016): "Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation)," accessed on April 28th, 2017, http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2016/679/oj
- Eurofound (2017): "Knowledge-intensive business services: Trends and scenarios," European Monitoring Centre for Change, The European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions (Eurofound), accessed on April 28th, 2017, https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/observatories/emcc/articles/ working-conditions/knowledge-intensive-business-services-trends-andscenarios
- Eurostat (2017): "Glossary: Knowledge-intensive services (KIS)," the statistical office of the European Union, accessed on April 28th, 2017, http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/ Glossary:Knowledge-intensive\_services\_(KIS)
- Fisher, A. G. B. (1939): "Production, primary, secondary and tertiary," Economic Record, 15(1), pp. 24–38.
- Fowler, M. and Highsmith, J. (2001): "The Agile Manifesto," Software Development, 9(8), pp. 28–35.
- Ford, M. (2015): "The Rise of the Robots: Technology and the Threat of Mass Unemployment," Oneworld Publications.
- Galbraith, J. R. (1973): "Designing complex organizations," Addison-Wesley Pub. Co., Reading, MA.
- Galbraith, J. R. (1971): "Matrix organization designs: How to combine functional and project forms," Business Horizons, 14(1), pp. 29–40.
- Galliers, R. (1991): "Choosing Appropriate Information Systems Research Approaches: A Revised Taxonomy," In "Information Systems Research: Contemporary Approaches and Emergent Traditions," Nissen, H., Klein, H. and Hirschheim, R. (Eds.), Elsevier Science Publishers, Holland, pp. 327–345.
- Gallupe, R. B. (2007): "The tyranny of methodologies in information systems research," SIGMIS Database, 38(3), pp. 20–28.
- Gash, D. C. and Orlikowski, W. J. (1991): "Changing frames: Towards an understanding of information technology and organizational change," MIT Sloan School Working Paper No. 3320-91, (January), MSA.
- Goldkuhl, G. (2004): "Meanings of pragmatism: Ways to conduct information systems research," In "Proceedings of the 2nd international conference on action in language, organisations and information systems (ALOIS 2004)," Linköping, Sweden.
- Goles, T. and Hirschheim, R. (2000): "The paradigm is dead, the paradigm is dead ... Long live the paradigm: The legacy of Burrell and Morgan," Omega, 28(3), pp. 249–268.
- Gonçalves, M. J. A., Rocha, Á., and Cota, M. P. (2016): "Information management model for competencies and learning outcomes in an educational context," Information Systems Frontiers, 18(6), pp. 1051–1061.
- Goodhue, D. L., Kirsch, L. J., Quillard, J. A., and Wybo, M. D. (1992): "Strategic data planning: lessons from the field," MIS Quarterly, pp. 11–34.
- Goodhue, D., Quillard, J., and Rockart, J. (1988): "Managing the Data Resources: A Contingency Perspective," MIS Quarterly, 16(1), pp. 267–274.
- Grabski, S. V., Leech S. A., and Schmidt, P. J. (2011): "A review of ERP research: A future agenda for accounting information systems," Journal of Information Systems, 25(1), pp. 37–78.
- Greenwood, R., Suddaby, R., and McDougald, M. (2009): "Introduction," in "Professional Service Firms," Suddaby, R., McDougard, M. and Greenwood, R. (eds.), Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Greenwood, R., Hinings, C. R., and Brown, J. (1990): "P2-form' strategic management: Corporate practices in professional partnerships," Academy of Management Journal, 33(4), pp. 725–755.
- Gregor, S. (2006): "The Nature of Theory in Information Systems," MIS Quarterly, 30(3), pp. 611–642.
- Groß, C., and Kieser, A. (2006): "Are consultants moving towards professionalization?" In "Professional Service Firms," pp. 69–100, Emerald Group Publishing Limited.



IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807

- Guba, E. G. L. Y. S. (1999): "Fourth Generation Evaluation," SAGE.
- Haeckel, S. (1992): "From 'make and sell' to 'sense and respond," Sloan Management Review.
- Hamel, G. and Breen, B. (2007): "The Future of Management," Harvard Business School Press.
- Hankin, S., Michaels, E., Handfield-Jones, H., and Axelrod, B. (1997): "War for Talent," Mckinsey Quarterly.
- Hansen, M., Nohria, N., and Tierney, T. 1999: "What's your strategy for managing knowledge?" Harvard Business Review, 77(2): pp. 106–118.
- Hellström, T., Kemlin, P., and Malmquist, U. (2000): "Knowledge and competence management at Ericsson: Decentralization and organizational fit," Journal of Knowledge Management, 4(2), pp. 99–110.
- den Hertog, P. (2000): "Knowledge-intensive business services as co-producers of innovation," International Journal of Innovation Management, 4(4), pp. 491-528.
- Hevner, A. R. (2007): "A three cycle view of design science research," Scandinavian Journal of Information Systems, 19(2), p. 4.
- Hevner, A. R., March, S. T., and Park, J. (2004): "Design research in information systems research," MIS Quarterly, 28(1), pp. 75–105.
- Highsmith, J. and Cockburn, A. (2001): "Agile software development: The business of innovation," Computer, 34(9), pp. 120–127.
- Hinings, C. R. and Leblebici, H. (2003): "Editorial introduction to the special issue: Knowledge and professional organizations," Organization Studies, 24(6), pp. 827–830.
- Hobday, M. (2000): "The project-based organisation: An ideal form for managing complex products and systems?" Research Policy, 29(7), pp. 871–893.
- Hoshmand, L. T. and Polkinghorne, D. E. (1992): "Redefining the science-practice relationship and professional training," American Psychologist, 47(1), pp. 55–66.
- Huemann, M., Keegan, A. and Turner, J. R. (2007): "Human resource management in the project-oriented company: A review," International Journal of Project Management, (25), pp. 315–323.
- Huselid, M. A. (2011): "Celebrating 50 Years: Looking back and looking forward: 50 years of Human Resource Management," Human Resource Management, 50(3), pp. 309–312.
- Hustad, E. and Munkvold, B. E. (2005): "IT-supported competence management: A case study at Ericsson," Information Systems Management, pp. 165–184.
- ILO (2016): "World Employment Social Outlook: Trends 2016," Research Department, International Labour Organization, Geneva.
- ILO (2015): "World Employment Social Outlook: The changing nature of jobs," Research Department, International Labour Organization, Geneva.
- ISO/IEC (2008): "ISO/IEC 38500: Corporate governance of information technology," the ISO and IEC.
- Johnson, R. D., Lukaszewski, K. M., and Stone, D. L. (2016): "The Evolution of the Field of Human Resource Information Systems: Co-Evolution of Technology and HR Processes," Communications of the Association for Information Systems: Vol. 38, Article 28.
- Johnson, R. B. and Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2004): "Mixed methods research: A re-search paradigm whose time has come," Educational Researcher, 33(7), pp. 14–26.
- Johns, T. and Gratton, L. (2013): "The third wave of virtual work," Harvard Business Review, 91(1), pp. 66–73.
- Kasanen, E., Lukka, K., and Siitonen, A. (1993): "The constructive approach in management accounting research," Journal of Management Accounting Research.
- Khatri, V., and Brown, C. V. (2010): "Designing data governance," Communications of the ACM, 53(1), pp. 148–152.
- Kimble, C., de Vasconcelos, J. B., and Rocha, Á. (2016): "Competence management in knowledge intensive organizations using consensual knowledge and ontologies," Information Systems Frontiers, 18(6), pp. 1119–1130.
- Krafcik, J. F. (1988): "Triumph of the lean production system," MIT Sloan Management Review, 30(1), pp. 41–52.
- Kähkönen, T., Alanne, A., Pekkola, S., and Smolander, K. (2017): "Explaining the challenges in ERP development networks with triggers, root causes, and consequences," Communications of the Association for Information Systems, 40(1), 11.



IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807

- Lacheheub, M. N. and Maamri, R. (2016): "Towards a construction of an intelligent business process based on cloud services and driven by degree of similarity and QoS," Information Systems Frontiers, 18(6), pp. 1085–1102.
- Lee, Y., Madnick, S., Wang, R., Wang, F., and Zhang, H. (2014): "A cubic framework for the chief data officer: Succeeding in a world of big data," MIS Quarterly Executive, 13(1).
- Lee, Z. and Lee, J. (2000): "An ERP implementation case study from a knowledge transfer perspective," Journal of Information Technology, (15), pp. 281–288.
- Leffingwell, D. (2011): "Agile Software Requirements: Lean Requirements Practices for Teams, Programs, and the Enterprise," Addison-Wesley.
- Lempinen, H. (2013): "Design Framework for Performance Management Systems: An Ensemble Approach," doctoral dissertation, Aalto University.
- Lester, S. (2014): "Professional standards, competence and capability," Higher Education, Skills and Work-based Learning, 4(1), pp. 31–43.
- Levitin, A. and Redman, T. C. (1998): "Data as a resource: Properties, implications, and prescriptions," Sloan Management Review, 40(1), pp. 89–101.
- Lindgren, R., Henfridsson, O., and Schultze, U. (2004): "Design principles for competence management systems: A synthesis of an action research study," MIS Quarterly, 28(3).
- Lindkvist, L. (2004): "Governing project-based firms: Promoting market-like processes within hierarchies," Journal of Management and Governance, 8(1), pp. 3–25.
- Lincoln, Y. S. and Guba, E. G. (1985): "Naturalistic Inquiry," SAGE, Beverly Hills, CA.
- Logan, D., King, J. P., and Fischer-Wright, H. (2008): "Tribal Leadership: Leveraging Natural Groups to Build a Thriving Organization," Collins.
- Mahnken, T. G. and Maiolo, J. A. (2014): "Strategic Studies: A Reader," Routledge.
- Maister, D. H. (2012): "Managing the Professional Service Firm," Simon and Schuster.
- Maister, D. H. (1985): "The one-firm firm: What makes it successful," Sloan Management Review, 27(1).
- Maister, D. H. (1982): "Managing the professional services firm," Sloan Management Review, 24, pp. 15–29.
- Malhotra, N, Morris, T., and Hinings, C. R. (2015): "Variation in Organizational Form among Professional Service Organizations," In "Professional Service Firms," Emerald Group Publishing Limited, pp. 171–202
- March, S. T. and Smith, G. F. (1995): "Design and natural science research on information technology," Decision Support Systems, 15, pp. 251–266.
- Mattila, M. (2012): "The Interaction Between an Enterprise System and a KnowledgeIntensive Project Organization: A Case Study of Project Staffing," doctoral dissertation, Aalto University.
- McAfee, A. & Brynjolfsson, E. (2017): "Machine, Platform, Crowds: Harnessing Our Digital Future," W. W. Norton & Company.
- Michaels, E., Handfield-Jones, H., and Axelrod, B. (2001): "The War for Talent," Harvard Business Press.
- Miles, R. E., Snow, C. S., Mathews, J. A., Miles, G., and Coleman, H. J. (1997): "Organizing in the knowledge age: Anticipating the cellular form," Academy of Management Executive, 11(4), pp. 7–20.
- Mintzberg, H., Ahlstrand, B. W., and Lampel, J. (1998): "Strategy Safari: A Guided Tour Through the Wilds of Strategic Management," Free Press, New York, NY.
- Momoh, A., Roy, R., and Shehab, E. (2010): "Challenges in enterprise resource planning implementation: State-of-the-art," Business Process Management Journal, 16(4).
- Morgan, G. (1998): "Images or organization," executive edition, Berrett Koehler, San Francisco, CA.
- Myers, M. D. (1997): "Qualitative research in information systems," MIS Quarterly, 21(2), pp. 241-242
- Myers, M. D. (2009): "Qualitative Research in Business & Management," SAGE, London.
- Nag, R., Hambrick, D. C., and Chen, M. J. (2007): "What is strategic management, really? Inductive derivation of a consensus definition of the field," Strategic Management Journal, 28(9), pp. 935–955.
- Niederman, F. (1999): "Global information systems and human resource management: A research agenda," Journal of Global Information Management, 7(2), pp. 33–39. von Nordenflycht,



IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807

- A. (2010): "What is a professional service firm? Toward a theory and taxonomy of knowledge-intensive firms," Academy of Management Review, 35(1), pp. 155–174.
- O'Dell, C., and Grayson, C. J. (1998): "If only we knew what we know: Identification and transfer of internal best practices," California Management Review, 40(3), pp. 154–174.
- OECD (2017): "Statistics," Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), accessed on April 28th, 2017, http://stats.oecd.org/
- OECD (2015): "G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance," OECD Publishing, Paris.
- Ohno, T. (1988): "Toyota production system: Beyond large-scale production", CRC Press.
- Orlikowski and Baroudi (1991): "Studying information technology in organizations: Research approaches and assumptions," Information Systems Research, 2(1), March 1991, pp. 1–28.
- Otto, B. (2011): "A morphology of the organisation of data governance," ECIS Proceedings.
- Patton, M. Q. (2003): "Utilization-Focused Evaluation", International Handbook of Educational Evaluation, Volume 9, pp. 223–242
- Pekkola, S., Niemi, E., Rossi, M., Ruskamo, M., and Salmimaa, T. (2013): "ERP research at ECIS and ICIS: A fashion wave calming down?" ECIS Proceedings.
- Penrose, E. T. (1959): "The Theory of the Growth of the Firm," Oxford University Press, USA.
- Pierce, E., Dismute, W. S., and Yonke, C. L. (2008): "The State of Information and Data Governance: Understanding How Organizations Govern Their Information and Data Assets," International Association for Information and Data Quality and the University of Arkansas at Little Rock.
- Porter, M. (1979): "How competitive forces shape strategy," Harvard Business Review, March-April, p. 137.
- Prahalad, C. K. and Hamel, G. (1990): "The core competence of the corporation," Harvard Business Review, 68(3), pp. 79–91.
- Redman, T. C. (1998): "The impact of poor data quality on the typical enterprise," Communications of ACM, 41(2).
- Robertson, B. J. (2007): "Organization at the leading edge: Introducing HolacracyTM," Integral Leadership Review, 7(3).
- Robertson, B. J. (2006): "Holacracy: A Complete System for Agile Organizational Governance and Steering," Agile Project Management Executive Report. 7(7), Cutter Consortium.
- Rorty, R. (1991): "Consequences of pragmatism: Essays, 1972–1980," Harvester Wheatsheaf, New York, NY.
- Rylander, A., and Peppard, J. (2005): "What Really is a Knowledge-Intensive Firm?" Royal Institute of Technology, pp. 1–28.
- Sánchez-Segura, M. I., Ruiz-Robles, A., and Medina-Dominguez, F. (2016): "Uncovering hidden process assets: A case study," Information Systems Frontiers, 18(6), pp. 1041–1049.
- Sarker, S., Xiao, X., and Beaulieu, T. (2013): "Qualitative studies in information systems: A critical review and some guiding principles," MIS Quarterly, 37(4).
- Shang, S. and Seddon, P. B. (2002): "Assessing and managing the benefits of enterprise systems: The business manager's perspective," Information Systems Journal (12), pp. 271–299.
- Sheng, Y. and Mykytyn, P. (2002): "Information technology investment and firm performance: A perspective of data quality," Proceedings the 7th ICIQ, pp. 132–141.
- Schultze (2000): "A confessional account of an ethnography about knowledge work". MIS Quarterly, 24(1), pp. 3-41.
- Schricke, E., Zenker, A., and Stahlecker, T. (2012): "Knowledge-intensive (business) services in Europe," Directorate-General for Research and Innovation, European Commission, Brussels.
- Schwab, K. (2017): "The Fourth Industrial Revolution," Penguin, UK.
- Sein, M., Henfridsson, O., Purao, S., Rossi, M. and Lindgren, R. (2011): "Action Design Research". MIS Quarterly, 35(2).
- Seiner, R. S. (2014): "Non-invasive Data Governance: The Path of Least Resistance and Greatest Success," Technics Publications.
- Sieber, J. E. (2009): "Planning ethically responsible research," In L. Bickman and D. J. Rog (Eds.), "The SAGE Handbook of Applied Social Research Methods," (pp. 106–141), SAGE, Los Angeles, CA.



# 0

### Integrated Journal of Management Science

IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807

- Sierra-Cedar (2016): "2016–2017 HR Systems Survey White Paper," (19th Annual Edition), CedarCrestone, Alpharetta, GA.
- Silver, M. S., Markus, M. L., and Beath, C. M. (1995): "The information technology interaction model: A foundation for the MBA core course," MIS Quarterly, 19(3), pp. 361–390.
- Simon, B. 2010: "A discussion on competency management systems from a design theory perspective," Business & Information Systems Engineering, 6.
- Simon, H. A. (1996): "The Sciences of the Artificial," MIT Press.
- Slone, J. P. (2006): "Information quality strategy: An empirical investigation of the relationship between information quality improvements and organizational outcomes," Ph.D. dissertation, Capella University.
- Sousa, M. J., and González-Loureiro, M. (2016): "Employee knowledge profiles—a mixedresearch methods approach," Information Systems Frontiers, 18(6), pp. 1103–1117.
- Starbuck, W. H. (1992): "Learning by knowledge-intensive firms", Journal of Management Studies, 29(6), pp. 713–740.
- Straub, D. and Boudreau, C. (2004): "Quantitative, positivist research methods in information systems," AIS http://dstraub. cis. gsu. edu, 88.
- Sutton, B. (2007): "The War for Talent is back," HBR Blog Network, Harvard Business Review.
- Swanson, R. A. and Holton, E.F. (2009): "Foundations of Human Resource Development," (2nd Edition), Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Sydow, J., Lindkvist, L., and DeFillippi, R. (2004): "Project-based organizations, embeddedness and repositories of knowledge," editorial.
- Tallon, P., Ramirez, R., and Short, J. (2013): "The information artifact in IT governance: Toward a theory of information governance," Journal of Management Information Systems, 30(3), pp. 141–178.
- Tashakkori, A. and Teddlie, C. (1998): "Mixed Methodology: Combining Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches," SAGE, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Teddlie, C. and Tashakkori, A. (2009). "Foundations of Mixed Methods Research: Integrating Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches in the Social and Behavioral Sciences," SAGE, Los Angeles, CA.
- Teece, D. J. (2009): "Dynamic Capabilities and Strategic Management," Oxford University Press. Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., and Shuen, A. (1997): "Dynamic capabilities and strategic management," Strategic Management Journal, 18(7), pp. 509–533.
- Thomas, G. (2006): "The DGI Data Governance Framework," The Data Governance Institute.
- Turner, J. R., and Keegan, A. (2001): "Mechanisms of governance in the project-based organization: Roles of the broker and steward," European Management Journal, 19(3), pp. 254–267.
- Vasconcelos, J. B., Kimble, C., and Rocha, Á. (2016): "A special issue on knowledge and competence management: Developing enterprise solutions," Information Systems Frontiers, 18(6), pp. 1035–1039.
- Vasconcelos, J. B., Gouveia, F. R., Kimble, C., and Kudenko, D. (2007): "Reasoning in Corporate Memory Systems: A Case Study of Group Competencies," In J. F. Schreinemakers and T. M. V. Engers (Eds.) "15 Years of Knowledge Management," Vol. 3, Advances in Knowledge Management. Ergon, Würzburg.
- Vasconcelos, J. B., Kimble, C., and Rocha, Á. (2003): "Organisational memory information systems an example of a group memory system for the management of group competencies," Journal of Universal Computer Science, 9(12), pp. 1410–1427.
- Wade, M. and Hulland, J. (2004): "Review: The resource-based view and information systems research: Review, extension, and suggestions for future research," MIS Quarterly, 28(1), pp. 107–142.
- Walsham, G. (2006): "Doing interpretive research," European Journal of Information Systems, 15, pp. 320–330.
- Walsham, G. (1995): "Interpretive case studies in IS research: Nature and method," European Journal of Information Systems, 4, pp. 74–81.
- Wang, R. Y., Lee, Y., Pipino, L., and Strong, D. (1998): "Manage your information as a product," Sloan Management Review, Summer, pp. 95–106.





IJMS 2025, Volume 5, Issue 1, Page 01-24 Open Access Articles Distributed in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0] Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 7.807 Copyright © 2025 DASSR Licensed under CC BY 4.0 Internal Standard Serial Number (ISSN): 2676-2811 DOI: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4">https://dx.doi.org/10.64839/ijms.v5i1.4</a> Web: <a href="https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/">https://damaacademia.com/index.php/ijms/</a>

Wang, Z. and Wang, N. (2012): "Knowledge sharing, innovation and firm performance," Expert Systems with Applications, 39(10), pp. 8899–8908.

